

D. Dyussebekova^{1,2}, I. Samatova², S. Bayanbay^{1,2}, A. Umirzakova³,
G. Zhenisbekuly³, A. Kakimzhanova^{2*}

¹L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan;

²National Center for Biotechnology, Astana, Kazakhstan;

³Sayram-Ugam State National Natural Park, Shymkent, Kazakhstan

*Corresponding author: kakimzhanova@biocenter.kz

Optimization *in vitro* cultivation conditions for an endemic species of Regel's pear

The decline in plant diversity is a pressing global issue driven by climate change, plant diseases, and human activities. This reduction in biodiversity poses significant threats to food security and the sustainability of ecosystems. Conserving wild plant species is crucial as they harbor genes that confer resistance to various biotic and abiotic stresses. One such important species is the Regel pear, endemic to Kazakhstan, known for its resistance to drought and diseases. During research efforts, samples of Regel pear were collected from their natural habitats. Using SSR markers, researchers identified samples that exhibited resistance to scab. In a pioneering step, optimal *in vitro* cultivation conditions were developed to preserve this economically valuable species. The sterilization process for establishing *in vitro* culture and subsequent regeneration was established. The multiplication conditions were refined using DKW nutrient medium supplemented with 1.0 mg/l BAP, 0.5 mg/l IBA, and 0.2 mg/l GA3. These measures aim to ensure the long-term conservation of genetic diversity and enhance agricultural resilience to environmental changes.

Keywords: *Pyrus regelii*, *in vitro* culture, endemic, micropropagation.

Introduction

Declining biodiversity has a negative impact on food security, leading to the deterioration of ecosystems. According to the report on the state of plant genetic resources, several factors contribute to the decline in biodiversity, including climate and habitat changes, invasive species, and the over use of plant resources beyond their natural restoration levels [1, 2, 3].

Kazakhstan holds a leading position in plant diversity within Central Asia, boasting approximately 5,700 species of higher plants, of which 14 % are endemic. However, like many regions worldwide, Kazakhstan faces biodiversity loss due to anthropogenic influences, soil degradation, deforestation, and other factors [4]. The use of biotechnological methods is effective for preserving biodiversity and promoting sustainable development [5, 6, 7].

Kazakhstan is the homeland of many nut and fruit plant species, which are now cultivated globally [8, 9, 10]. According to Vavilov's centers of origin of cultivated plants, the Central Asian center includes species such as apple trees, pears, cherries, plums, pistachios, grapes, and others [11]. Pear species, represented by a wide variety of wild and cultivated local varieties, have significant importance in this region. Central Asia is a key center for the speciation of the genus *Pyrus* L. [12].

Pear production ranks second worldwide after apples. Pears are susceptible to various diseases, with some of the most dangerous being fire blight and scab. Scab is caused by fungi of the genus *Venturia*, specifically *V. naschicola* infecting Asian pear species and *V. pirina* affecting common pears [13, 14].

Wild pear species of fer allelic diversity and combinations that provide resistance and tolerance to various abiotic and biotic stresses. Many wild pear species, including *P. ussuriensis*, *P. pashia*, *P. korshinskyi*, *P. syriaca*, *P. hopiensis*, *P. gharbiana*, *P. betulifolia*, *P. calleryana*, *P. cossonii*, *P. dimorphophylla*, *P. fauriei*, *P. pyrifolia*, *P. ussuriensis*, *P. regelii*, *P. communis*, and *P. xerophila*, possess traits of drought, cold, and disease resistance [15, 16, 17].

Regel's pear (*Pyrus regelii*) is an endemic species growing in the Tien Shan and Pamir-Altai regions, with its habitat gradually shrinking. It grows singly or in groups on dry rocky slopes and rocks, among shrubs, in xerophytic woodlands, and thermophilic juniper forests [18]. In southern Kazakhstan, the Regel pear grows, recognized as an economically valuable species due to its significant drought resistance. This species can be utilized for a forestation of arid areas with poor soil conditions. Its fruits are very tart and astringent but can be used as drought-resistant roots stocks [19].

The purpose of this study is to optimize the cultivation conditions of the endemic Regel pear species, which is resistant to scab, in *in vitro* culture to preserve biodiversity and enhance sustainable development in Kazakhstan.

Experimental

The object of research was plant material of the Regel pear, which was collected in the Sairam-Ugam State National Natural Park, Tyulkubas branch (Fig. 1). Table 1 presents the coordinates of the selected samples.



Figure 1. Regel's pear in its natural habitat

Table 1

Coordinates of Regel's pear growth

Species	Number	Longitude	Latitude	Height above sea level, m
Regel's pear	specimen 1	E070°15.33'	N42°40.33'	880
	Specimen 2	E70°15.091'	N42°41.345'	917
	Specimen 3	E70°15.645'	N42°40.789'	864

DNA extraction

DNA extraction from plant material was carried out according to the CTAB protocol. CTAB lysis buffer contained 2 % cetyltrimethylammonium bromide, 20 mM EDTA, 100 mM Tris-HCl, 1.4 mM NaCl, and 1 % PVP. Purification with chloroform was carried out twice.

Isolation protocol: Leaves were homogenized in 500 μ l CTAB buffer for 3 min. at 30 Hz using a high-speed homogenizer TissueLyser II Qiagen. After incubation at 65 °C for 60 minutes, samples were centrifuged at 20,000 rpm for 10 minutes, then the supernatant was extracted with an equal volume of chloroform and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 20,000 rpm. The extraction procedure was repeated twice. DNA was precipitated with 2/3 isopropanol and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 30 minutes. The precipitate was washed twice with 70 % ethanol, dried and dissolved in 100 μ l of TE buffer [20].

Molecular genetic analysis

To study the genetic potential of pear resistance to pathogens, molecular genetic analysis was carried out. To select resistant genotypes to scab (*Venturia nashicola*), the SSR marker TsuENH101 (AB621905) was used. Sequence: F: TGCCTAATGGAAGGGTCCTA R: CAAGGAAGAGAAGACCGACG [21]. The 25 μ l PCR reaction mixture contained the following: 5 μ l template DNA (50 ng/ μ l); 3 μ l PCR buffer (10x); 1.5 μ l MgCl₂ (25 mM); 1.5 μ l off or ward and reverse primers (10 pmol); 0.3 μ l of Taq polymerase and 1.8 μ l of dNTP (2 mM), the rest of the mixture was made up with deionized distilled water. Amplification

was performed using a 96-well thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems) with the following conditions: 95 °C for 2 min; 40 cycles 95 °C 30 sec, 55 °C 30 sec, 72 °C 45 sec; 72°C 5 min.

Sterilization and establishment in vitro culture

To sterilize annual axillary buds of the Regel pear, the effects of different concentrations of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) were studied. The following concentrations were tested: I — 3 % H₂O₂; II — 6 % H₂O₂; III — 9 % H₂O₂; IV — 12 % H₂O₂, in all cases the exposure time was 5 minutes.

The effect of nutrient media with the addition of the cytokinin 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) at a concentration of 1.0 mg/l on the formation of the main shoot was studied. The following options were studied: I — MS with the addition of BAP — 1.0 mg/l; II — DKW with the addition of BAP — 1.0 mg/l; III — QL with the addition of BAP — 1.0 mg/l. In each variant, 30 explants were cultured.

Multiplicaton stage

To multiply shoots, we studied various combinations and concentrations of growth regulators BAP, gibberyllic acid (GA3), and indolyl-3-butyric acid (IBA) on the DKW nutrient medium. Treatments: I — DKW without growth regulators; II — DKW with BAP 0.1 mg/l, IBA 0.1 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l; III — DKW with BAP 0.5 mg/l, IBA 0.1 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l; IV — DKW with BAP 1.0 mg/l, IBA 0.1 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l; V — DKW with BAP 0.1 mg/l, IBA 0.5 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l; VI — DKW with BAP 0.5 mg/l, IBA 0.5 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l; VII — DKW with BAP 1.0 mg/l, IBA 0.5 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l; VIII — DKW with BAP 0.1 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l; IX — DKW with BAP 0.5 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l; X — DKW with BAP 1.0 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l.

In each treatment, 30 shoots were used.

Statistical processing


The experimental results were analyzed using one-way and two-way ANOVA, and significant differences were screened using Tukey's post hoc test. The results were analyzed in the statistical package SPSS 23.0 (IBM Inc., New York, USA). Data are expressed as means ± standard error.

Results and Discussion

The habitat of the Regel pear is declining due to diseases, climate change, anthropogenic impact, insufficient watering, and other factors [22]. Scab, caused by two species of fungi, *Venturia pirina* and *Venturia nashicola*, is one of the most severe and common diseases affecting European and Asian pears. Scab pathogens impact the leaves, fruits, and branches of pears. Scab resistance is a crucial goal in pear breeding, along with improving fruit quality, yield, and storage ability. Despite the development of a few scab-resistant pear varieties, none are produced in large quantities [23].

Several studies have focused on mapping the pear genome to identify scab resistance genes, one of which is Vnk. Based on this gene; molecular markers such as SSR, AFLP, and RAPD have been developed [24]. SSR markers, in particular, were developed to determine resistance to black spot [25].

To select scab-resistant samples of Regel pear, molecular genetic analysis was conducted using a microsatellite marker. In the first stage, DNA of the required quality and quantity was extracted from pear leaves. DNA concentration was measured using a small volume spectrophotometer. PCR was carried out with the SSR marker TsuENH101 to select scab-resistant samples. Detection was performed in 8 % polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 2).



M — molecular weight marker
(Ferment as, 25-700 bp);
1 — specimen 1;
2 — specimen 2;
3 — specimen 3

Figure 2.
Electropherogram of Regel pear using
the TsuENH101 SSR marker

According to the results of the analysis, it was shown that all samples tested with the SSR marker Tsu ENH101 were resistant to *Venturia nashicola*. A polymorphic allele with a length of 127 bp was amplified, which demonstrated a significant connection with the Vnk gene responsible for scab resistance (*Venturia nashicola*). Consequently, a study of three Regel pear trees confirmed their resistance to scab. These trees were then established *in vitro* culture for further micropropagation.

One of the critical stages of micropropagation is the sterilization of explants for establishment *in vitro* culture. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) was used as the primary sterilizing agent, a common practice in micropropagation of various plant species [26, 27, 28, 29].

Sterilization of explants was performed in two stages. In the first stage, one-year-old axillary buds were washed multiple times in a soap solution on a magnetic stirrer to remove surface dust and dirt. Then, under aseptic conditions, they were treated with hydrogen peroxide according to the concentrations specified in the research methods. The results were analyzed on the 14th day of cultivation. Sterilization was considered most effective when the explants remained green and showed no signs of infection (bacterial or fungal) or tissue necrosis.

The study revealed that the most optimal sterilization for Regel pear involved treating the explants with 12 % H_2O_2 for 5 minutes. This method resulted in 70 % of the explants being viable (Fig. 3).

Other studies on pear sterilization have used various sterilizing agents. For example, sodium hypochlorite was found effective for the Pyro dwarf rootstock of the species *P. communis* L. [30]. Additionally, 4 % $HgCl_2$ has been used in several works for the sterilization of common pear and Syrian pear [31, 32]. Czech researchers used 0.15 % $HgCl_2$ in their work on the micropropagation of pear [33]. Therefore, it is necessary to select an appropriate sterilizing agent for each specific type and variety of pear.




Figure 3. Results of sterilization of axillary buds of the Regel pear

The next step after selecting the optimal sterilization regime is the formation of the main shoot. For micropropagation, the main shoot must be produced by direct organogenesis, without evidence of callus formation, as somaclonal variation may occur.

We studied several variants of culture media with the addition of BAP 1.0 mg/l. The highest average value of shoot regeneration was observed 10–15 days after cultivation on DKW medium. A BAP concentration of 1.0 mg/l caused earlier induction of the main shoot without necrosis. Callus was observed on other variants of nutrient media (Fig. 4).

In the micropropagation of plants, the main goal is to obtain the maximum number of genetically identical shoots that can easily take root, acclimatize, and grow successfully under field conditions [34]. Among plant growth regulators, cytokinins are the most commonly used. Cytokinins in plants regulate shoot branching, initiation of apical growth, and other critical growth processes [35].




Figure 4. Regel pear shoots formed on regeneration medium

The widely used synthetic cytokinin BAP (6-benzylaminopurine) is effective, versatile, and can be rapidly metabolized in plant tissues [36, 37]. BAP is often included in micropropagation protocols for various pear species [38, 39, 40, 41].

The optimal nutrient medium for the formation of the main shoot in Regel pear was found to be the DKW nutrient medium. This medium was used for the multiplication of Regel pear shoots. To select the optimal multiplication conditions, Regel pear shoots measuring about 0.9 cm were cultivated in DKW medium with various concentrations of plant growth regulators. The concentrations of growth regulators BAP, GA (gibberellic acid), and IBA (indole-3-butyric acid) had different effects on the multiplication of shoots (Table 2). The largest number of multiplied shoots per ex plant (10.60 ± 0.53) was observed on DKW medium with BAP 1.0 mg/l and GA 0.2 mg/l (Fig. 5). Shoot proliferation increased with increasing BAP concentration to 1.0 mg/l in treatments IV, VII, and X. The presence of IBA in the nutrient medium did not significantly differ from option X, where IBA was absent.

Micropropagation protocols have been developed for the main varieties of *P. communis* L. [42, 43, 44, 45, 46]. While some studies have been conducted on wild and domesticated *Pyrus* species, there is a lack of scientific research on the micropropagation of Regel pear. This study addresses that gap by providing a detailed protocol for the effective micropropagation of Regel's pear using optimal concentrations of plant growth regulators.

Table 2

Optimizing the multiplication of the Regel pear


Treatment	Plant growth regulators, mg/l			Phenological parameters		
	BAP	IBA	GA	Shoot height, cm	Shoots, pcs.	Number of leaves, pcs
I	-	-	-	1.25 ± 0.04	1.07 ± 0.27	5.60 ± 0.12
II	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.96 ± 0.04	2.03 ± 0.35	13.83 ± 0.11
III	0.5	0.1	0.2	1.07 ± 0.08	$4.37 \pm 0.56^*$	$18.53 \pm 0.14^*$
IV	1.0	0.1	0.2	0.92 ± 0.04	$8.43 \pm 0.59^*$	$35.73 \pm 0.15^*$
V	0.1	0.5	0.2	1.36 ± 0.04	$4.70 \pm 0.54^*$	$23.30 \pm 0.15^*$
VI	0.5	0.5	0.2	1.42 ± 0.05	$7.90 \pm 0.45^*$	$22.50 \pm 0.17^*$
VII	1.0	0.5	0.2	$1.68 \pm 0.04^*$	$9.77 \pm 0.69^*$	$61.73 \pm 0.27^*$
VIII	0.1	-	0.2	$0.57 \pm 0.05^*$	$4.87 \pm 0.74^*$	$19.37 \pm 0.25^*$
IX	0.5	-	0.2	1.50 ± 0.06	$5.77 \pm 0.55^*$	$41.97 \pm 0.31^*$
X	1.0	-	0.2	$0.71 \pm 0.06^*$	$10.60 \pm 0.53^*$	$65.40 \pm 0.29^*$



A) Shoots with BAP 1.0 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l, IBA 0.5 mg/l



B) Shoots with BAP 0.1 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l



C) Shoots with BAP 0.1 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l, IBA 0.1 mg/l



D) Shoots with BAP 0.1 mg/l, GA 0.2 mg/l, IBA 0.5 mg/l

Figure 5. Multiplied shoots of Regel pear

Thus, the most optimal concentration of growth regulators for the formation of shoots that can be used for rooting *in vitro* of Regel pear is BAP 1.0 mg/l, IBA 0.5 mg/l and GA 0.2 mg/l.

Conclusion

Work has been carried out to establish scab-resistant Regel pears *in vitro* culture. The most optimal sterilization for Regel pear is the treatment of explants with 12 % H₂O₂ with an exposure time of 5 minutes, where the viability was 70 %. Conditions have been selected for the formation of the main shoot and multiplication of the Regel pear; the most optimal concentration of growth regulators for the formation of shoots of the Regel pear is BAP 1.0 mg/l, IBA 0.5 mg/l and GA 0.2 mg/l.

Funding

This work was carried out within the framework of: IRI BR21882024 “Study of biodiversity and development of methods for *ex situ* conservation of genetic resources of fruit and nut plants.”

References

- 1 Allender, C. (2010). *The Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. DOI: 10.1017/S0014479711000275
- 2 Vigouroux, Y., Barnaud, A., Scarcelli, N., & Thuillet, A.C. (2011). Biodiversity, evolution and adaptation of cultivated crops. *Comptes rendus biologiques*, 334(5-6), 450–457. DOI: 10.1016/j.crv.2011.03.003
- 3 Udawatta, R.P., Rankoth, L.M., & Jose, S. (2019). Agroforestry and biodiversity. *Sustainability*, 11(10), 2879. DOI: 10.3390/su11102879
- 4 Kerteshev, T., & van Zyl, H. (2020). *Biodiversity Finance Initiative Kazakhstan (BIOFIN)*.
- 5 Engels, J.M., & Ebert, A.W. (2021). A critical review of the current global *ex situ* conservation system for plant agrobiodiversity. I. History of the development to the global system in the context of the political / legal framework and its major conservation components. *Plants*, 10(8), 1557. DOI: 10.3390/plants10081557
- 6 Kulak, V., Longboat, S., Brunet, N.D., Shukla, M., & Saxena, P. (2022). *In vitro* technology in plant conservation: Relevance to biocultural diversity. *Plants*, 11(4), 503. DOI: 10.3390/plants11040503
- 7 Ruta, C., Lambardi, M., & Ozudogru, E.A. (2020). Biobanking of vegetable genetic resources by *in vitro* conservation and cryopreservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 29, 3495–3532.
- 8 Bhat, M.S., Lone, F.A., Shafiq, M.U., & Rather, J.A. (2021). Evaluation of long term trends in apple cultivation and its productivity in Jammu and Kashmir from 1975 to 2015. *Geo Journal*, 86, 1193–1202. DOI: 10.1007/s10708-019-10112-3
- 9 Hassani, D., Sarikhani, S., Dastjerdi, R., Mahmoudi, R., Soleimani, A., & Vahdati, K. (2020). Situation and recent trends on cultivation and breeding of Persian walnut in Iran. *Scientia horti culturae*, 270, 109369. DOI: 10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109369
- 10 Mandal, D., Wermund, U., Phavaphutanon, L., & Cronje, R. (Eds.). (2021). *Temperate fruits: production, processing, and marketing*. CRC Press. DOI: 10.1201/9781003045861
- 11 Vavilov, N. I. & Dorofeev, V. F. (1992). *Origin and geography of cultivated plants*. Cambridge University Press.
- 12 Korban, S.S. (Ed.). (2019). *The Pear Genome*. Springer International Publishing.
- 13 Ishii, H., Nishimura, K., Tanabe, K., & Yamaoka, Y. (2021). Pathogenic specialization of *Venturia nashicola*, causal agent of Asian pear scab, and resistance of pear cultivars Kinchaku and Xiangli. *Phytopathology*, 111(6), 990–1000. DOI: 10.1094/PHYTO-06-20-0220-R
- 14 González-Domínguez, E., Armengol, J., & Rossi, V. (2017). Biology and epidemiology of *Venturia* species affecting fruit crops: a review. *Frontiers in plant science*, 8, 1496. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01496
- 15 U.S. Department of Agriculture. *Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN-Global)*. *GRIN Taxonomy*. <https://npgsweb.ars-rin.gov/gringlobal/taxon/taxonomyquery.aspx>
- 16 Zong, Y., Sun, P., Yue, X., Niu, Q., & Teng, Y. (2017). Variation in microsatellite loci reveals a natural boundary of genetic differentiation among *Pyrus betulaefolia* populations in northern China. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 142(5), 319–329. DOI: 10.21273/JASHS04068-17
- 17 Montanari, S., Postman, J., Bassil, N.V., & Neale, D.B. (2020). Reconstruction of the largest pedigree network for pear cultivars and evaluation of the genetic diversity of the USDA-ARS national *Pyrus* collection. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, 10(9), 3285–3297. DOI: 10.1534/g3.120.401327
- 18 Pear *Regelii* (*Pyrus regelii*, = *Pyrus heterophylla*). Retrieved from <https://all-begonias-tamaravn.blogspot.com/2021/02/grusha-Regelya-grusha-raznolistnaya-Pyrus-regelii-Pyrus-heterophylla.html>
- 19 Komarov, V.L. (1935). *Flora of USSR. Vol. 9. Droseraceae, Saxifragaceae, Rosaceae*. Leningrad.
- 20 Doyle, J. (1991). DNA protocols for plants. In *Molecular techniques in taxonomy* (pp. 283–293). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-83962-7_18
- 21 Yamamoto, T., Terakami, S., Moriya, S., Hosaka, F., Kurita, K., Kanamori, H., & Nishitani, C. (2011). DNA markers developed from genome sequencing analysis in Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia*). In *XIII Eucarpia Symposium on Fruit Breeding and Genetics 976*: 477–483. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.976.67
- 22 Turdiev, T.T. et al. (2023). Preservation of germplasm of wild pear forms by cryopreservation. *Bulletin of KazNU. Series biology*, 2(95); 72–82.
- 23 Bouvier, L., Bourcy, M., Boulay, M., Tellier, M., Guérif, P., Denancé, C., & Lespinasse, Y. (2012). A new pear scab resistance gene Rvpl from the European pear cultivar 'Navara' maps in a genomic region synthetic to an apple scab resistance gene cluster on linkage group 2. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, 8(1), 53–60. DOI: 10.1007/s11295-011-0419-x
- 24 Perchepped, L., Leforestier, D., Ravon, E., Guérif, P., Denance, C., Tellier, M., & Durel, C.E. (2015). Genetic mapping and pyramiding of two new pear scab resistance QTLs. *Molecular Breeding*, 35, 1–14. DOI: 10.1007/s11032-015-0391-5
- 25 Terakami, S., Shoda, M., Adachi, Y., Gonai, T., Kasumi, M., Sawamura, Y., & Yamamoto, T. (2006). Genetic mapping of the pear scab resistance gene Vnk of Japanese pear cultivar Kinchaku. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 113(4), 743–752. DOI: 10.1007/s00122-006-0344-9
- 26 Terakami, S., Adachi, Y., Takeuchi, Y., Takada, N., Nishio, S., Saito, T., & Yamamoto, T. (2021). Development of an SSR marker set for efficient selection for resistance to black spot disease in pear breeding. *Breeding science*, 71(2), 240–252. DOI: 10.1270/jsbbs.20136

- 27 Chokheli, V.A., Dmitriev, P.A., Rajput, V.D., Bakulin, S.D., Azarov, A.S., Varduni, T.V., & Minkina, T.M. (2020). Recent development in micropropagation techniques for rare plants species. *Plants*, 9(12), 1733. DOI: 10.3390/plants9121733
- 28 Neri, J.C., Meléndez-Mori, J.B., Tejada-Alvarado, J.J., Vilca-Valqui, N.C., Huaman-Huaman, E., Oliva, M., & Goñas, M. (2022). An optimized protocol for micropropagation and acclimatization of Strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) Variety “Aroma”. *Agronomy*, 12(4), 968. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy12040968
- 29 Korkmaz, E., Yaşar, R., Soydan, B., Aasim, M., Sarpkaya, K., & Açar, İ. (2021). Optimization of *in vitro* sterilization for pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L.) rootstocks. *Anatolian Journal of Botany*, 6(1), 1–6. DOI: 10.30616/ajb.999973
- 30 Ahsan, S.M., Shin, J.H., & Choi, H.W. (2022). Availability of hydrogen peroxide solutions as a germination liquid medium for contamination-free *in vitro* seedling development of Cannabis sativa. *Horticultural Science and Technology*, 40(6), 605–613. DOI: 10.7235/HORT.20220055
- 31 Kaviani, B., Barandan, A., Tymoszyk, A., & Kulus, D. (2023). Optimization of *in vitro* propagation of pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) ‘Pyrodwarf®(S)’ rootstock. *Agronomy*, 13(1), 268. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy13010268
- 32 Lotfi, M. (2022). Effects of monochromatic red and blue light-emitting diodes and phenylacetic acid on *in vitro* mass production of *Pyrus communis* “Arbi”. *Journal of Horticulture and Post harvest Research*, 5(2), 119–128. DOI: 10.22077/jhpr.2021.4517.1229
- 33 Lotfi, M., Mars, M., & Werbrouck, S. (2019). Optimizing pear micropropagation and rooting with light emitting diodes and trans-cinnamic acid. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 88, 173–180. DOI: 10.1007/s10725-019-00498-y
- 34 Sedlak, J., & Paprstein, F. (2018). Protocol for *in vitro* shoot multiplication of ancient pear cultivars and land races. In *XIII International Pear Symposium 1303* (pp. 101–106). DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2021.1303.15
- 35 Aremu, A. O., Plačková, L., Bairu, M. W., Novák, O., Plíhalová, L., Doležal, K., & Van Staden, J. (2014). How does exogenously applied cytokinin type affect growth and endogenous cytokinins in micropropagated *Merwillia plumbea*? *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC)*, 118, 245–256. DOI: 10.1007/s11240-014-0477-5
- 36 Aremu, A.O., Fawole, O.A., Makunga, N.P., Masondo, N.A., Moyo, M., Buthelezi, N.M. & Doležal, K. (2020). Applications of cytokinins in horticultural fruit crops: Trends and future prospects. *Biomolecules*, 10(9), 1222. DOI: 10.3390/biom10091222
- 37 Krikorian, A.D. (1995). *Hormones in tissue culture and micropropagation*. *Plant Hormones: Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. Kluwer Academic.
- 38 Ivanova, M., & van Staden, J. (2008). Effect of ammonium ions and cytokinins on hyperhydricity and multiplication rate of *in vitro* regenerated shoots of *Aloe polyphylla*. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 92, 227–231. DOI: 10.1007/s11240-007-9311-7.
- 39 Rehman, H.U., Gill, M.I.S., Dhillon, W.S., & Bedi, S. (2014). Micropropagation of Patharnakh (*Pyrus pyrifolia* (burm f.) Nakai) pear using explants obtained from forced cuttings. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine*, 2(2), 54–65. DOI: 10.15835/nbha361108
- 40 Yi, J., Lee, G., Chung, J., Lee, Y., Gwag, J., & Lee, S. (2015). Efficient micropropagation of pear germplasm using shoot tips and nodal explants. *Korean Journal of Plant Resources*, 28(6), 690–696.
- 41 Lupo, M., Bashir, M.A., Silvestri, C., Brunori, E., Pica, A.L., & Cristofori, V. (2022). LED lighting effects on plant growth and quality of *Pyrus communis* L. propagated *in vitro*. *Agronomy*, 12(10), 2531. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy12102531
- 42 Tuncel, Y.E., & Şan, B. (2023). Micropropagation of pear clone root stocks OHxF 97 and OHxF 333.
- 43 Reed, B.M., DeNoma, J., Wada, S., & Postman, J. (2013). Micropropagation of pear (*Pyrus* sp.). *Protocols for micropropagation of selected economically-important horticultural plants*, 3–18. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-62703-074-8_1
- 44 Aygun, A. & Dumanoglu, H. (2015). *In vitro* shoot proliferation and *in vitro* and *ex vitro* root formation of *Pyrus elaeagnifolia* Pallas. *Frontiers in plant science*, 6, 225. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00225
- 45 Lotfi, M., Bayouh, C., Werbrouck, S., & Mars, M. (2020). Effects of meta-topolin derivatives and temporary immersion on hyperhydricity and *in vitro* shoot proliferation in *Pyrus communis*. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC)*, 143, 499–505. DOI: 10.1007/s11240-020-01935-x
- 46 Ricci, A., Mezzetti, B., Navacchi, O., & Sabbadini, S. (2023). *In vitro* shoot regeneration from leaves of *Pyrus communis* L. root stock and cultivars. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*, 17(3), 341–352. DOI: 10.1007/s11816-023-00823-y
- 47 Alexandri, S., Tsaktsira, M., Hatzilazarou, S., Kostas, S., Nianiou-Obeidat, I., Economou, A., & Tsoulpha, P. (2023). Selection for Sustainable Preservation through *In vitro* Propagation of Mature *Pyrus spinosa* Genotypes Rich in Total Phenolics and Antioxidants. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 4511. DOI: 10.3390/su15054511

Д. Дюсембекова, И. Саматова, С. Баянбай, А. Умирзакова,
Г. Женисбекулы, А. Какимжанова

Эндемикалық түр Регель алмұрты үшін *in vitro* өсіру жағдайларын оңтайландыру

Өсімдіктердің әртүрлілігінің азаюы климаттың өзгеруінен, өсімдіктердің аурулары мен адам әсерінен туындаған жаһандық мәселе. Бұл биоалуан түрлілікке теріс әсер етіп, өз кезегінде азық-түлік қауіпсіздігі мен экожүйенің тұрақтылығы мәселелеріне әкеледі. Жабайы өсімдік түрлерін сақтау

ерекше маңызға ие, себебі оларда биотикалық және абиотикалық факторларға төзімділікті қамтамасыз ететін гендер болуы мүмкін. Қазақстанда құрғақшылық пен ауруға төзімділік белгілері бар эндемикалық түр — Регель алмұрты өседі. Зерттеу барысында олардың өскен жерінен үлгілерді іздестіру және жинау жұмыстары жүргізілді. Регель алмұртының паршаға төзімді үлгілері SSR маркерінің көмегімен анықталды. Бұл экономикалық құнды түрді сақтау үшін алғаш рет *in vitro* өсіру шарттары оңтайландырылды. *In vitro*-ға дақылды енгізу және регенерациялау үшін стерилизация протоколы әзірленді. 1,0 мг/л, ИМК 0,5 мг/л, ГК 0,2 мг/л БАП-пен толықтырылған DKW қоректік ортасының мультипликация шарттары таңдалды. Бұл жұмыс генетикалық әртүрлілікті ұзақ мерзімді сақтауды қамтамасыз етуге және өзгермелі қоршаған орта жағдайларына ауылшаруашылығының тұрақтылығын арттыруға бағытталған.

Кілт сөздер: *Pyrus regelii*, *in vitro* дақылы, эндемик, микроклональды көбею.

Д. Дюсембекова, И. Саматова, С. Баянбай, А. Умирзакова,
Г. Женисбекулы, А. Какимжанова

Оптимизация условий культивирования *in vitro* эндемичного вида груши Регеля

Сокращение растительного разнообразия является глобальной проблемой, вызванной изменением климата, болезнями растений и антропогенным воздействием. Это негативно сказывается на биоразнообразии, что, в свою очередь, приводит к проблемам продовольственной безопасности и устойчивости экосистем. Особую важность представляет сохранение диких видов растений, так как они могут содержать гены, обеспечивающие устойчивость к биотическим и абиотическим факторам. В Казахстане произрастает эндемичный вид — груша Регеля, который обладает признаками устойчивости к засухе и болезням. В ходе исследования проведены работы по поиску и сбору образцов в месте их произрастания. Определены устойчивые образцы груши Регеля к парше с использованием SSR-маркера. Впервые были оптимизированы условия культивирования *in vitro* для сохранения этого хозяйственно-ценного вида. Отработаны стерилизация для введения в культуру *in vitro* и регенерация. Подобраны условия мультипликации — питательная среда DKW с БАП 1,0 мг/л, ИМК 0,5 мг/л, ГК 0,2 мг/л. Эти усилия направлены на обеспечение долгосрочной сохранности генетического разнообразия и повышение устойчивости сельского хозяйства к изменяющимся условиям окружающей среды.

Ключевые слова: *Pyrus regelii*, *in vitro*, культура, эндемик, микроклональное размножение.

Information about the authors

Dyussebekova Damira — Master of biology, Junior researcher of Plant biotechnology and breeding laboratory, National Center for Biotechnology, Astana, Kazakhstan; e-mail: dussebekova.damira@gmail.com;

Samatova Indira — Master of biology, Engineer of Plant biotechnology and breeding laboratory, National Center for Biotechnology, Astana, Kazakhstan;

Bayanbay Saltanat — Master-student, L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Department of General Biology and Genomics, Engineer, Plant biotechnology and breeding laboratory, National Center for Biotechnology, Astana, Kazakhstan;

Umirzakova Alima — State inspector, Senior researcher of the Department of Science, Information and Monitoring of the Sairam-Ugam State National Natural Park, Shymkent, Kazakhstan;

Zhenisbekuly Galymzhan — State inspector, Head of the Department of Science, Information and Monitoring of the Sairam-Ugam State National Natural Park, Shymkent, Kazakhstan;

Kakimzhanova Almagul — Corresponding author, Doctor of biological sciences, Head of the Plant biotechnology and breeding laboratory, National Center for Biotechnology, Astana, Kazakhstan; e-mail: kakimzhanova@biocenter.kz.