

## Operator-pencil treatment of multi-interval Sturm-Liouville equation with boundary-transmission conditions

H. Olğar<sup>1,\*</sup>, F. Muhtarov<sup>2</sup>, O. Mukhtarov<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Tokat Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Turkey;

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan  
(E-mail: hayatiolgar@gmail.com, fahreddenmuhtarov@gmail.com, omukhtarov@yahoo.com)

This paper is devoted to a new type of boundary-value problems for Sturm-Liouville equations defined on three disjoint intervals  $(-\pi, -\pi + d)$ ,  $(-\pi + d, \pi - d)$  and  $(\pi - d, \pi)$  together with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions and with additional transmission conditions specified at the common end points  $-\pi + d$  and  $\pi - d$ , where  $0 < d < \pi$ . The considered problem cannot be treated by known techniques within the usual framework of classical Sturm-Liouville theory. To establish some important spectral characteristics we introduced the polynomial-operator formulation of the problem. Moreover, we develop a new modification of the Rayleigh method to obtain lower bound of eigenvalues.

*Keywords:* boundary-value-transmission problems, eigenvalues, generalized eigenfunctions, lower bound estimation, Rayleigh's method, transmission conditions.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 34L10, 34B24.

### Introduction

This work is motivated by the problem of understanding the nature of the spectral characteristics of the class of boundary-value problems (BVPs) for Sturm-Liouville equations (SLEs) defined of finite number of nonintersecting intervals together with additional interaction conditions specified at the common endpoints of these intervals. Moreover, the spectral parameter appears linearly in both differential equation and boundary conditions (BCs). Such type of BVPs (the so-called many-interval boundary value transmission problems (MIBVTPs)) are encountered in solving various transfer problems of mathematical physics. For example, some MIBVTPs arise in heat transfer problems, mass transfer problems, diffraction problems, seismic behavior of the Earth's, waves in the atmosphere, etc. (see, [1–7]). Its solutions are determined by different special functions, such as Bessel functions, Chebyshev polynomials, Legendre polynomials, Hypergeometric functions etc. Important studies have been carried out recently regarding MIBVTPs [8–24].

The aim of this work is to investigate the following MIBVTP, consisting of three-interval SLE

$$-g''(x) + q(x)g(x) = \lambda r(x)g(x) \quad (1)$$

defined on three-interval  $(-\pi, -\pi + d) \cup (-\pi + d, \pi - d) \cup (\pi - d, \pi)$ , together with the  $\lambda$ -dependent BCs given by

$$\cos \varphi g(-\pi + d) + \sin \varphi g'(-\pi + d) = 0, \quad 0 < \varphi < \pi, \quad (2)$$

$$\alpha g(\pi) - \alpha' g'(\pi) + \lambda (\beta g(\pi) - \beta' g'(\pi)) = 0 \quad (3)$$

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: hayatiolgar@gmail.com

Received: 08 December 2023; Accepted: 05 May 2024.

© 2024 The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

and with the additional transmission conditions (TCs) at the points of interaction  $-\pi + d$  and  $\pi - d$  given by

$$T_{-\pi+d}(g) = 0, T_{-\pi+d}(g') = \theta_1 g(-\pi + d), \tag{4}$$

$$T_{\pi-d}(g) = 0, T_{\pi-d}(g') = \theta_2 g(\pi - d), \tag{5}$$

where  $0 < d < \pi$ ,  $T_x(g)$  is the linear form defined by  $T_x(g) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} g(x + |\delta|) - \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} g(x - |\delta|)$ ,  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \theta_1, \theta_2$  are real numbers,  $q(x)$  is a real-valued function,  $q \in L_2(-\pi, \pi)$ . Everywhere we shall assume that

$$\theta_3 := \begin{vmatrix} \alpha' & \alpha \\ \beta' & \beta \end{vmatrix} > 0.$$

To study some important spectral characteristic of the considered MIBVTP (1)-(5) we introduced a corresponding operator-polynomial in appropriate Hilbert space. Note that, MIBVTPs have been an important research in recent years [25–31].

### 1 Operator-pencil treatment of the problem

To study some spectral characteristics of the MIBVTP (1)–(5) we shall use the operator-pencil theory and Rayleigh theory. Let us formulate some definitions and facts, which is needed for further consideration.

Let  $k \geq 0$  be an integer. The Sobolev space  $W_2^k(a, b)$  is defined to be the linear space consisting of all functions  $g \in L_2(a, b)$  having generalized derivatives  $g', g'', \dots, g^{(k)} \in L_2(a, b)$  equipped with the inner product

$$\langle g, h \rangle_{W_2^k(a,b)} := \sum_{j=0}^k \langle g^{(j)}, \bar{h}^{(j)} \rangle_{L_2(a,b)}$$

and corresponding norm  $\|g\|_{W_2^k(a,b)}^2 = \langle g, g \rangle_{W_2^k(a,b)}$ . Here,  $L_2(a, b)$  denotes the space of all complex-valued functions  $g$ , such that  $\int_a^b |g^2(x)| dx < \infty$ , equipped with the inner product

$$\langle g, h \rangle_{L_2(a,b)} := \int_a^b g(x) \bar{h}(x) dx.$$

Denote  $\Omega_1 = (-\pi, -\pi + d)$ ,  $\Omega_2 = (-\pi + d, \pi - d)$ ,  $\Omega_3 = (\pi - d, \pi)$  and  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$ . For investigation of the BVTP (1)–(5) we shall use the discret sum space  $\oplus L_2 := L_2(\Omega_1) \oplus L_2(\Omega_2) \oplus L_2(\Omega_3)$  with the inner-product

$$\langle g, h \rangle_0 := \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega_i} g(x) \bar{h}(x) dx$$

and direct sum space

$$\oplus W_2^1 = \left\{ g \in \oplus L_2 \mid g \in W_2^1(\Omega_i) (i = 1, 2, 3), g(-\pi + d + 0) = g(-\pi + d - 0), \right. \\ \left. g(\pi - d + 0) = g(\pi - d - 0) \right\}$$

with the inner-product

$$\langle g, h \rangle_1 := \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega_i} (g'(x) \bar{h}'(x) + g(x) \bar{h}(x)) dx.$$

We can show that the inner-product spaces  $\oplus L_2$  and  $\oplus W_2^1$  are Hilbert spaces.

In the Hilbert space  $\oplus W_2^1$  we define a new inner-product by

$$\langle g, h \rangle_2 := \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega_i} \{g'(x)\bar{h}'(x) + q(x)g(x)\bar{h}(x)\} dx$$

with the corresponding norm  $\|g\|_2^2 = \langle g, g \rangle_2$ . Obviously, there are positive constants  $m$  and  $M$ , such that

$$m \|g\|_1 < \|g\|_2 < M \|g\|_1$$

for all  $g \in \oplus W_2^1$ .

Using the well-known embedding properties for Sobolev spaces (see [20]) we can show that

$$|g(x_j)|^2 \leq \ell \|g'\|_0^2 + \frac{2}{\ell} \|g\|_0^2, \tag{6}$$

$$|g(\xi)| \leq C(\xi) \|g\|_2 \tag{7}$$

for any  $g \in \oplus W_2^1$  where  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $x_1 = -\pi$ ,  $x_2 = -\pi + d \neq 0$ ,  $x_3 = \pi - d \neq 0$ ,  $x_4 = \pi$ ,  $\ell$  is a positive number (small enough),  $\xi \in \Omega$ , the constant  $C(\xi)$  is independent of the function  $g$  and dependent only of  $\xi$ . Let us introduce to the consideration the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$ , consisting of all vector-functions  $(\chi(x), \chi_1) \in \oplus W_2^1 \oplus \mathbb{C} := \mathbb{H}$  equipped with the inner product

$$\langle \Gamma, \Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} := \langle \chi, \varphi \rangle_1 + \chi_1 \bar{\varphi}_1,$$

where  $\Gamma = (\chi, \chi_1)$  and  $\Psi = (\varphi, \varphi_1) \in \mathbb{H}$ .

The concept of weak eigenfunction is based on the weak solutions of the problem (1)–(5), which we shall define by the following procedure. By multiplying the differential equation (1) by the conjugate of an arbitrary  $h \in \oplus W_2^1$  satisfying the conditions  $h(\pi - d + 0) = h(\pi - d - 0)$  and  $h(-\pi + d + 0) = h(-\pi + d - 0)$  and then integrating by parts over the intervals  $\Omega_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega_i} \{g'(x)\bar{h}'(x) + q(x)g(x)\bar{h}(x)\} dx - \frac{\beta}{\beta'} g(\pi)\bar{h}(\pi) - \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} g(-\pi)\bar{h}(-\pi) + \\ & + \theta_1 g(-\pi + d)\bar{h}(-\pi + d) + \theta_2 g(\pi - d)\bar{h}(\pi - d) + \frac{\kappa}{\beta'} \bar{h}(\pi) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega_i} g\bar{h} dx, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{g(\pi)}{\beta'} - \frac{\alpha' \kappa}{\beta' \theta_3} = \lambda \frac{\kappa}{\theta_3}, \tag{9}$$

where  $\kappa := \beta g(\pi) - \beta' g'(\pi)$ . Thus the BVTP (1)–(5) is transformed into the system of equalities (8) and (9), all terms of which are defined for the  $g, h \in \oplus W_2^1$ .

*Definition 1.* The element  $\Gamma = (g(x), \kappa) \in \oplus W_2^1$  is said to be a weak solution of the BVTP (1)–(5) if the equations (8)-(9) are satisfied for any  $h \in \oplus W_2^1$ .

Let us introduce to the consideration the following bilinear forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0(g, h) & := - \frac{\beta}{\beta'} g(\pi)\bar{h}(\pi) - \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} g(-\pi)\bar{h}(-\pi) + \theta_1 g(-\pi + d)\bar{h}(-\pi + d) + \\ & + \theta_2 g(\pi - d)\bar{h}(\pi - d), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\tau_1(g, h) := \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega_i} r(x)g(x) \bar{h}(x)dx, \tag{11}$$

and

$$\tau_2(\kappa, h) := \frac{\kappa}{\beta'} \bar{h}(\pi). \tag{12}$$

The reduction of identities (8)-(9) to an operator equation is based on the following result.

*Theorem 1.* There are bounded linear operators  $S_0, S_1 : \oplus W_2^1 \rightarrow \oplus W_2^1$  and  $S_2 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \oplus W_2^1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n(g, h) &= \langle S_n g, h \rangle_2 \text{ for } n = 0, 1 \text{ and} \\ \tau_n(\kappa, h) &= \langle S_n \kappa, h \rangle_2 \text{ for } n = 2. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

*Proof.*  $\tau_n(g, h)$ ,  $n = 0, 1$ , are linear functionals in  $h \in \oplus W_2^1$  for any given  $g \in \oplus W_2^1$  and that  $\tau_2(\kappa, h)$  is a linear functional in  $h \in \oplus W_2^1$  for any given  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $g \in \oplus W_2^1$  be any function. From (10)-(12), it follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_0(g, h)| &\leq C_1 \{ |g(\pi)| |h(\pi)| + |g(-\pi)| |h(-\pi)| + |g(-\pi + d)| |h(-\pi + d)| + \\ &\quad + |g(\pi - d)| |h(\pi - d)| \}, \\ |\tau_1(g, h)| &\leq C_2 \|g\| \|h\|, \\ |\tau_2(\kappa, h)| &\leq C_3 |\kappa| |h(\pi)|. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below, the symbols  $C_k$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  denote different positive constants whose exact values are not important for the proof.

The interpolation inequalities (6)-(7) imply

$$\|g\| \leq C_4 \|g\|_2 \text{ and } |g(\xi)| \leq C_5 \|g\|_2 \text{ for any } \xi \in \Omega.$$

Hence, the functionals  $\tau_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2$ ) allow the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_0(g, h)| &\leq C_6 \|g\|_2 \|h\|_2, \\ |\tau_1(g, h)| &\leq C_7 \|g\|_2 \|h\|_2, \\ |\tau_2(\kappa, h)| &\leq C_8 |\kappa| \|h\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\tau_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2$ ) are linear continuous functionals in  $h \in \oplus W_2^1$  for any given  $g \in \oplus W_2^1$ ,  $n = 0, 1$ , and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $n = 2$ , respectively. Then, the existence of linear bounded operators  $S_0, S_1$  and  $S_2$  follows immediately from the well-known Riesz representation theorem (see, for example, [25]).

*Theorem 2.* The operators  $S_0, S_1 : \oplus W_2^1 \rightarrow \oplus W_2^1$  are self-adjoint and the operator  $S_1$  is positive.

*Proof.* Let  $g, h \in \oplus W_2^1$  be arbitrary functions. By (10) and (13), we have that

$$\langle g, S_0 h \rangle_{\oplus W_2^1} = \overline{\langle S_0 h, g \rangle_{\oplus W_2^1}} = \overline{\tau_0(h, g)} = \tau_0(g, h) = \langle S_0 g, h \rangle_{\oplus W_2^1}.$$

Hence, the operator  $S_0$  is self-adjoint in  $\oplus W_2^1$ . The proof of the self-adjointness of  $S_1$  is totally similar. The positivity of  $S_1$  follows immediately from the fact that the function  $r(x)$  is positive definitely.

*Theorem 3.* The operators  $S_i : \oplus W_2^1 \rightarrow \oplus W_2^1$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ),  $S_2 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \oplus W_2^1$  and  $S_2^* : \oplus W_2^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are compact, where  $S_2^*$  is the adjoint of  $S_2$ .

*Proof.* To prove the compactness of the operator  $S_0$  it is sufficient to show that any weakly convergent sequence  $\{g_k\} (k = 1, 2, \dots)$  in  $\oplus W_2^1$  is transformed by  $S_0$  into a strongly convergent sequence  $\{S_0g_k\}$  in the same space. The boundedness of  $S_0$  implies the weakly convergence of  $\{S_0g_k\}$  to  $S_0g$  in  $\oplus W_2^1$ , where  $g(x)$  is the weak limit of  $\{g_k\}$ . Since the embedding operator  $J : \oplus W_2^1 \hookrightarrow \oplus L_2$  is compact [20], the sequences  $(g_k)$  and  $(S_0g_k)$  converge strongly to  $g$  and  $S_0g$  in  $\oplus L_2$  respectively. In addition, since for each bounded interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  the embedding operator  $J : W_2^1(I) \hookrightarrow C(I)$  is compact and the sequences  $\{g_k\}$  and  $\{S_0g_k\}$  are bounded in  $\oplus W_2^1$  it follows that these sequences converge in  $C(\Omega_1) \oplus C(\Omega_2) \oplus C(\Omega_3)$ .

Further, the compactness of the embedding operator  $J : \oplus W_2^1 \hookrightarrow C(\Omega_1) \oplus C(\Omega_2) \oplus C(\Omega_3)$  (see, for example, [20]) implies that the sequences  $\{g_k(d_i)\}$  and  $\{(S_0g_k)(d_i)\}$  converge in  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $g(d_i)$  and  $(S_0g)(d_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) with  $d_1 = -\pi$  or  $d_2 = -\pi + d \mp 0$  or  $d_3 = \pi - d \mp 0$  or  $d_4 = \pi$ , respectively. The representations (10)–(12) and inequalities (6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \| S_0(g_k - g_m) \|_2^2 = \langle S_0(g_k - g_m), S_0(g_k - g_m) \rangle_2 = \tau_0(g_k - g_m, S_0(g_k - g_m)) \\ & \leq C_1 \{ |(g_k(\pi) - g_m(\pi))| + |(g_k(-\pi) - g_m(-\pi))| \} \\ & + C_1 \{ |(g_k(-\pi + d + 0) - g_m(-\pi + d - 0))| + |(g_k(\pi - d + 0) - g_m(\pi - d - 0))| \}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|S_0(g_k - g_m)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $k, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{S_0g_k\}$  is the Cauchy sequence in the space  $\oplus W_2^1$  and therefore converges strongly in  $\oplus W_2^1$ . Thus the compactness of the operator  $S_0$  is proven. The proof of the compactness of the operator  $S_1$  is totally similar.

It is easy to show that the adjoint operator  $S_2^*$  is defined by the equality  $S_2^*g = \frac{g(\pi)}{\beta'}$ , from which it follows that this operator is compact. Then by virtue of well-known theorem of Functional Analysis the operator  $S_2$  is also compact. The proof is complete.

## 2 Positiveness of the operator-pencil

It is evident that the BVTP (1)–(5) can be written as the operator-pencil equation in  $\mathbb{H}$ , given by

$$\mathcal{A}(\lambda) \Gamma = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}(\lambda) = \Delta - \lambda \Lambda, \tag{14}$$

where the operators  $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$  are defined by

$$\Delta(g, \kappa) = \left( g + S_0g + S_2\kappa, S_2^*g - \frac{\alpha' \kappa}{\beta' \theta_3} \right), \tag{15}$$

$$\Lambda(g, \kappa) = \left( S_1g, \frac{\kappa}{\theta_3} \right), \tag{16}$$

respectively.

*Lemma 1.* For all real  $\lambda_0$ , the operator  $\mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0) = \Delta + \lambda_0 \Lambda$  is self-adjoint in the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$ .

*Proof.* Using Theorem 2, it is easy to show that the linear operators  $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$  are self-adjoint. Therefore, the operator-pencil  $\mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0) = \Delta + \lambda_0 \Lambda$  is also self-adjoint in the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$ .

*Lemma 2.* The operator-polynomial  $\mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0)$  is positive definite for sufficiently large positive values of  $\lambda_0$ .

*Proof.* Taking in view the equality

$$\mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0)\Gamma = \left( g(x) + S_0g(x) + S_2\kappa + \lambda_0 S_1g(x), S_2^*g(x) - \frac{\alpha'}{\beta'} \frac{\kappa}{\theta_3} + \lambda_0 \frac{\kappa}{\theta_3} \right)$$

for  $\Gamma = (g(x), \kappa)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0)\Gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} &= \langle g(x), g(x) \rangle_2 + \langle S_0g(x), g(x) \rangle_2 + \langle S_2\kappa, g(x) \rangle_2 + (S_2^*g(x))\bar{\kappa} - \\ &- \frac{\alpha'}{\beta' \theta_3} |\kappa|^2 + \lambda_0 \left\{ \langle S_1g(x), g(x) \rangle_2 + \frac{1}{\theta_3} |\kappa|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let us define the following functionals

$$P(g) := \langle g', g' \rangle_0, \quad Q(g) := \langle qg, g \rangle_0, \quad R(g) := \langle rg, g \rangle_0. \quad (18)$$

From the well-known embedding theorems for Sobolev spaces it follows easily that the inequalities

$$|g(x_j)|^2 \leq C_{j1}\epsilon_j P(g) + \frac{C_{j2}}{\epsilon_j} Q(g) \quad (19)$$

hold for sufficiently small positive  $\epsilon_j$ , where  $g \in \oplus W_2^1$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ),  $C_{jk}$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) are positive constants;  $x_1 = -\pi$ ,  $x_2 = -\pi + d \mp 0$ ,  $x_3 = \pi - d \mp 0$ ,  $x_4 = \pi$ .

Using (18) and (19) and applying the well-known Young inequality, we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_0g(x), g(x) \rangle_2 &= -\frac{\beta}{\beta'} |g(\pi)|^2 - \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} |g(-\pi)|^2 + \theta_1 |g(-\pi + d)|^2 + \theta_2 |g(\pi - d)|^2 \\ &\geq \left( -\frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} C_{11}\epsilon_1 + \theta_1 C_{21}\epsilon_2 + \theta_2 C_{31}\epsilon_3 - \frac{\beta}{\beta'} C_{41}\epsilon_4 \right) P(g) \\ &+ \left( -\frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} \frac{C_{12}}{\epsilon_1} + \theta_1 \frac{C_{22}}{\epsilon_2} + \theta_2 \frac{C_{32}}{\epsilon_3} - \frac{\beta}{\beta'} \frac{C_{42}}{\epsilon_4} \right) Q(g). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_2\kappa, g(x) \rangle_2 + (S_2^*g(x))\bar{\kappa} &= \frac{2}{\beta'} \operatorname{Re}(\kappa \bar{g}(\pi)) \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{|\beta'| \gamma} |g(\pi)|^2 - \frac{\gamma}{|\beta'|} |\kappa|^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{|\beta'| \gamma} \left\{ C_{41}\epsilon_4 P(g) + \frac{C_{42}}{\epsilon_4} Q(g) \right\} \\ &- \frac{\gamma}{|\beta'|} |\kappa|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for arbitrary  $\gamma > 0$ . It is easy to see that,

$$\langle S_1g, g \rangle_2 = R(g) \geq M_1 Q(g) \quad (22)$$

for some  $M_1 > 0$ .

Taking in view the equality

$$\|g\|_2^2 = P(g) + Q(g), \quad g \in \oplus W_2^1 \quad (23)$$

and substituting (20)–(23) into (17) we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0)\Gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \geq \Phi_1 P(g) + \Phi_2(\lambda_0) Q(g) + \Phi_3(\lambda_0) |\kappa|^2, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &:= 1 - \left| \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} \right| C_{11}\epsilon_1 + \theta_1 C_{21} \epsilon_2 + \theta_2 C_{31} \epsilon_3 \\ &\quad - \left( \left| \frac{\beta}{\beta'} \right| + \frac{1}{\gamma |\beta'|} \right) C_{41}\epsilon_4, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2(\lambda_0) &:= 1 - \left| \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} \right| \frac{C_{12}}{\epsilon_1} + \theta_1 \frac{C_{22}}{\epsilon_2} + \theta_2 \frac{C_{32}}{\epsilon_3} \\ &\quad - \left( \left| \frac{\beta}{\beta'} \right| + \frac{1}{\gamma |\beta'|} \right) \frac{C_{42}}{\epsilon_4} + \lambda_0 M, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$\Phi_3(\lambda_0) = - \left| \frac{\alpha'}{\beta'} \right| \frac{1}{\theta_3} - \frac{\gamma}{|\beta'|} + \frac{\lambda_0}{\theta_3}. \tag{27}$$

Since  $\theta_3 > 0$ , it is possible to choose the positive parameters  $\gamma, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$  and  $\epsilon_4$  so small and the positive parameter  $\lambda_0$  so large that  $\Phi_1 > 0, \Phi_2(\lambda_0) > 0, \Phi_3(\lambda_0) > 0$ . Now denoting

$$\Phi(\lambda_0) := \min(\Phi_1, \Phi_2(\lambda_0), \Phi_3(\lambda_0)),$$

we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0)\Gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \geq \Phi(\lambda_0) \|\Gamma\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2$$

for all  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{H}$ . Consequently the operator pencil  $\mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0)$  is positive definite for sufficiently large  $\lambda_0 > 0$ . The proof is complete.

### 3 Modified Rayleigh quotient and estimation of the eigenvalues

For finding lower bound estimation for eigenvalues we shall introduce a new spectral parameter  $\mu = \lambda + \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is the parameter from Lemma 2. Then the operator pencil equation  $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)\Gamma = 0$  is transformed to the spectral problem

$$\mathcal{A}(-\lambda_0)\Gamma - \mu\Lambda\Gamma = 0 \tag{28}$$

with the new spectral parameter  $\mu$ . This problem can be rewritten as

$$\mu = \frac{\langle (\Delta + \lambda_0 \Lambda)\Gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}}{\langle \Lambda\Gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}}. \tag{29}$$

Let  $h = g$  in (8). Then equation (8) is converted into the form

$$\langle g, g \rangle_2 + \langle S_0 g, g \rangle_2 + \langle S_2 \kappa, g \rangle_2 = \lambda \langle S_1 g, g \rangle_2. \tag{30}$$

Using (30), we have the following Rayleigh quotient

$$\mu = \frac{\langle g, g \rangle_2 + \langle S_0 g, g \rangle_2 + \langle S_2 \kappa, g \rangle_2 + (S_2^* g)\kappa - \frac{\alpha'}{\beta' \theta_3} |\kappa|^2 + \lambda_0 \{ \langle S_1 g, g \rangle_2 + \frac{1}{\theta_3} |\kappa|^2 \}}{\langle S_1 g, g \rangle_2 + \frac{1}{\theta_3} |\kappa|^2}. \tag{31}$$

Using (14)–(16), (20)–(27) and (28)–(31) we have the following inequality

$$\mu \geq \frac{\Phi_1 P(g) + \Phi_2(\lambda_0) Q(g) + \lambda_0 R(g) + \Phi_3(\lambda_0) |\kappa|^2}{|\kappa|^2 + \frac{1}{\theta_3} |\kappa|^2}. \tag{32}$$

It is easy to show that there are  $M_2 > 0$  and  $M_3 > 0$ , such that

$$R(g) \leq M_2 Q(g) \leq M_3 \|g\|^2$$

for all  $g$ .

Then from inequality (32) we get

$$\mu \geq \min(M_2 \Phi_2(\lambda_0) + \lambda_0, \theta_3 \Phi_3(\lambda_0)).$$

Thus, we have the lower bound estimation for eigenvalues of the BVTP (1)–(5) given by

$$\lambda_k \geq -\lambda_0 + \min(M_2 \Phi_2(\lambda_0) + \lambda_0, \theta_3 \Phi_3(\lambda_0)).$$

### *Conclusion*

In this work, we investigated a new type of boundary value problems (BVPs) for Sturm-Liouville equations. The problem addressed in our study is different from standard Sturm-Liouville problems in the sense that the differential equation is defined on three non-overlapping intervals  $(-\pi, -\pi + d)$ ,  $(-\pi + d, \pi - d)$  and  $(\pi - d, \pi)$  and the boundary conditions are included four additional conditions at the interaction points  $x = -\pi + d$  and  $x = \pi - d$ , so-called transmission conditions. Spectral analysis, such type of multi-interval boundary value transmission problems (MIBVTPs), is much more complicated to analyze than BVPs. It is not obvious how to apply the known classical methods to such MIBVTPs. To establish some important spectral characteristics, we introduced a new type polynomial-operator formulation of the considered MIBVTP. We then proved that this polynomial-operator is self-adjoint and positive definite for sufficiently large positive values of the spectral parameter  $\lambda$ . Moreover, we have been developed a new modification of the Rayleigh method to obtain a lower bound for the eigenvalues.

### *Acknowledgments*

The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees and the editorial team for their valuable comments, suggestions and contributions for the improvement of the paper.

### *Funding information*

This study was presented partially in ICAAM 2018 (Fourth International Conference on Analysis and Applied Mathematics).

### *Author Contributions*

All the authors equally contributed to this work. They all read and approved the final version of the paper.

### *Conflict of Interest*

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1 Belinskiy, B.P., Hiestand, J.W., & Matthews, J.V. (2015). Piecewise uniform optimal design of a bar with an attached mass. *Electr. J. Differ. Equ.*, 2015(206), 1–15. <https://ejde.math.txstate.edu/Volumes/2015/206/belinskiy.pdf>
- 2 Fulton, C.T. (1980). Singular eigenvalue problems with eigenvalue parameter contained in the boundary conditions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 87(1-2), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500012312>
- 3 Hinton, D.B. (1979). An expansion theorem for an eigenvalue problem with eigenvalue parameter in the boundary condition. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 30(1), 33–42. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/30.1.33>
- 4 Lykov, A.V., & Mikhailov, Y.A. (1965). *Theory of Heat And Mass Transfer*. Translated from Russian by I. Shechtman; translation edited by R. Hardin, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem.
- 5 Tikhonov, A.N., & Samarskii, A.A. (1990). *Equations of Mathematical Physics*. New York: Dover Publications.
- 6 Voitovich, N.N., Katsenelbaum, B.Z., & Sivov, A.N. (1976). Obobshchennyi metod sobstvennykh kolebaniy v teorii difraktsii [The generalized natural-oscillation method in diffraction theory]. *Uspekhi fizicheskikh nauk — Advances in physical sciences*, 118(4), 709–736 [in Russian].
- 7 Walter, J. (1973). Regular eigenvalue problems with eigenvalue parameter in the boundary conditions. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 133, 301–312.
- 8 Allahverdiev, B.P., & Tuna, H. (2018). Titchmarsh-Weyl, Theory for Dirac systems with transmission conditions. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 15, 151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-018-1197-6>
- 9 Amara, J.B., & Shkalikov, A.A. (1999). The Sturm-Liouville problem with physical and spectral parameters in the boundary condition. *Mathematical Notes*, 66, 127–134.
- 10 Aydemir, K., & Mukhtarov, O. (2016). Asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for a multi-point discontinuous Sturm-Liouville problem. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2016(131), 1–14.
- 11 Aydemir, K., & Mukhtarov, O. (2017). Class of Sturm-Liouville problems with eigenparameter dependent transmission conditions. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 38(10), 1260–1275. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2017.1316995>.
- 12 Bairamov, E., Tas, K., & Uğurlu, E. (2024). On The Characteristic Functions and Dirichlet-Integrable Solutions of Singular Left-Definite Hamiltonian Systems. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, 47(5), 983–995. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2023.2277841>
- 13 Belinskiy, B.P., & Dauer, J.P. (1998). Eigenoscillations of mechanical systems with boundary conditions containing the frequency. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, 56, 521–541. <https://doi.org/10.1090/qam/1637056>
- 14 Çavuşoğlu, S., & Mukhtarov, O.Sh. (2021). A new finite difference method for computing approximate solutions of boundary value problems including transition conditions. *Bulletin of the Karaganda University. Mathematics Series*, 2(102), 54–61. <https://doi.org/10.31489/2021m2/54-61>
- 15 Friedman, B. (1956). *Principles and Techniques of Applied Mathematics*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- 16 Guliyev, N.J. (2019). Schrödinger operators with distributional potentials and boundary conditions dependent on the eigenvalue parameter. *J. Math. Phys.*, 60(6), 063501. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5048692>

- 17 Kandemir, M., & Mukhtarov, O.Sh. (2020). Manypoint Boundary Value Problems for Elliptic Differential-Operator Equations with Interior Singularities. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 17, 35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-019-1470-3>
- 18 Kerimov, N.B., & Mamedov, Kh.R. (1999). On a boundary value problem with a spectral parameter in the boundary conditions. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 40(2), 281–290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11202-999-0008-5>
- 19 Küçükevcilioğlu, Y.A., Bayram, E., & Özbey, G.G. (2021). On the spectral and scattering properties of eigenparameter dependent discrete impulsive Sturm-Liouville equations. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 45(2), 988–1000. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2101-45>
- 20 Ladyzhenskaia, O.A. (1985). *The Boundary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- 21 Mukhtarov, O.Sh., Yücel, M., & Aydemir, K. (2020). Treatment a new approximation method and its justification for Sturm-Liouville problems. *Complexity*, 2020, 8019460, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8019460>
- 22 Mukhtarov, O.Sh., Yücel, M., & Aydemir, K. (2021). A new generalization of the differential transform method for solving boundary value problems. *Journal of New Results in Science*, 10(2), 49–58.
- 23 Stakgold, I. (1971) *Boudary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics II* . New York: Macmillan Co.
- 24 Şen, E., Açıkgöz, M., & Aracı, S. (2017). Spectral problem for Sturm-Liouville operator with retarded argument which contains a spectral parameter in the boundary condition. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 68(8), 1263–1277.
- 25 Gohberg, I.C., & Krein, M.G. (1969). *Introduction to The Theory of Linear Non-Selfadjoint Operators*. Translation of *Mathematical Monographs*, 18. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode, Island.
- 26 Keldysh, M.V. (1971). On the completeness of the eigenfunctions of some classes of non-selfadjoint linear operators. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 26(4), 15–44. <https://doi.org/10.1070/RM1971v026n04ABEH003985>
- 27 Kostyuchenko, A.G., & Shkalikov, A.A. (1983). Self-adjoint quadratic operator pencils and elliptic problems. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 17, 109–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01083136>
- 28 Olğar, H., & Muhtarov, F.S. (2017). The basis property of the system of weak eigenfunctions of a discontinuous Sturm-Liouville problem. *Mediternian Journal of Mathematics*, 14, 114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-017-0915-9>
- 29 Olğar, H., & Mukhtarov, O.Sh. (2017). Weak eigenfunctions of two-interval Sturm-Liouville problems together with interaction conditions. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 58, 042201. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4979615>
- 30 Oznur, G.B., Aygar, Y., & Aral, N.D. (2023). An examination of boundary value transmission problem with quadratic spectral parameter. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, 46(5), 871–885. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2022.2045522>
- 31 Rodman, L. (1989). *An Introduction to Operator Polynomials*. Birkhauser Verlag, Boston, Massachusetts.

*Author Information\**

**Hayati Olğar** (*corresponding author*) — Doctor of mathematical sciences, Associate Professor, Tokat Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Turkey; *e-mail:* [hayatiolgar@gmail.com](mailto:hayatiolgar@gmail.com); <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4732-1605>

**Fahreddin Muhtarov** — Doctor of mathematical sciences, Professor, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan; *e-mail:* [fahreddinmuhtarov@gmail.com](mailto:fahreddinmuhtarov@gmail.com); <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5482-2478>

**Oktay Mukhtarov** — Doctor of mathematical sciences, Professor, Tokat Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Turkey and Azerbaijan; National Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan; *e-mail:* [omukhtarov@yahoo.com](mailto:omukhtarov@yahoo.com); <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7480-6857>

Buketov University

---

\*The author's name is presented in the order: First, Middle and Last Names.