

q -Analogues of Lyapunov-type inequalities involving Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives

N.S. Tokmagambetov*, B.K. Tolegen

Karaganda Buketov University, Karaganda, Kazakhstan
(E-mail: nariman.tokmagambetov@gmail.com, bektas.tolegen99@gmail.com)

In this article, new q -analogues of Lyapunov-type inequalities are presented for two-point fractional boundary value problems involving the Riemann–Liouville fractional q -derivative with well-posed q -boundary conditions. The study relies on the properties of the q -Green’s function, which is constructed to solve such problems and allows for the analytical derivation of the inequalities. These inequalities find application in two directions: establishing precise lower bounds for the eigenvalues of corresponding q -fractional spectral problems and formulating criteria for the absence of real zeros in q -analogues of Mittag-Leffler functions. The obtained results generalize classical and fractional Lyapunov inequalities, offering new perspectives for the analysis of stability and spectral properties of q -fractional differential systems. The relevance of the work is driven by the growing interest in q -calculus in discrete models, such as viscoelastic systems or quantum circuits, where discrete dynamics play a key role. The convenience of closed-form analytical expressions makes the results practically applicable. The research lays the foundation for further generalizations, including Caputo derivatives or multidimensional q -systems, which may stimulate new discoveries in discrete fractional analysis.

Keywords: q -calculus, fractional q -derivative, Lyapunov-type inequality, Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, Green’s function, Mittag-Leffler function, eigenvalue problems, fractional integral.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A08, 39A13.

Introduction

Fractional calculus investigates integrals and derivatives of arbitrary (non-integer) order, has become an indispensable framework for modelling complex phenomena in physics, biology, engineering, and economics [1, 2]. Fractional differential equations (FDEs) naturally describe memory effects, non-local interactions, and anomalous diffusion; a representative example is C.F. Li et al.’s proof of positive solutions for nonlinear FDEs with boundary constraints [3].

A central analytical tool for boundary-value problems (BVPs) in the fractional setting is the Lyapunov-type inequality. R.A.C. Ferreira obtained the first variant for a Riemann–Liouville derivative with Dirichlet conditions [4]; M. Jleli and B. Samet extended the result to mixed boundary conditions [5]; and D. Basu et al. treated fractional boundary conditions, applying the inequality to spectral questions [6]. Subsequent refinements yielded sharper eigenvalue bounds and zero-free intervals for Mittag-Leffler functions [7].

Parallel to the continuous theory, q -fractional calculus blends quantum calculus with fractional analysis. Its origins trace back to Jackson’s introduction of q -difference operators and integrals [8, 9] and R.D. Carmichael’s work on q -difference equations [10]. Modern expositions by V. Kac and P. Cheung [11], T. Ernst [12, 13], and M.H. Annaby, Z.S. Mansour [14] have systematised the subject.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: nariman.tokmagambetov@gmail.com

This research was funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant no. AP22687134, 2024-2026).

Received: 17 December 2024; Accepted: 2 June 2025.

© 2025 The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Foundational notions of *q*-fractional integrals and derivatives, proposed by W.A. Al-Salam [15] and R.P. Agarwal [16], were rigorously formalised by P.M. Rajkovic et al. [17, 18].

Applications of *q*-fractional differential equations range from quantum mechanics to discrete dynamical systems. R.A.C. Ferreira analysed non-trivial and positive solutions for several classes of *q*-fractional BVPs [19, 20]; S. Shaimardan and collaborators established existence and uniqueness results for Cauchy-type problems with Riemann–Liouville derivatives [21]. The *q*-fractional framework has been connected with time–scale calculus through the work of F.M. Atici and P.W. Elloe [22]; with three-point and other non-local boundary conditions in the papers of S. Liang, J. Zhang, C. Yu, J. Wang, S. Wang et al. [23–25]; and further refined for related non-local problems by C. Zhai, J. Ren [26] and Y. Zhao, H. Chen, Q. Zhang [27]. Lyapunov-type inequalities for *q*-fractional equations were first obtained by M. Jleli and B. Samet [28].

In this work we derive two new Lyapunov-type inequalities for the *q*-fractional boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{q,a}^\alpha u(t) + q(t)u(t) = 0, & a \leq t \leq b, \ 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \ 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \\ u(a) = 0, \quad D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0, & 0 < q < 1, \end{cases}$$

by exploiting properties of the associated *q*-Green function. The analysis combines topological fixed-point techniques [29], and existence principles in the Caratheodory framework [30]. Our results sharpen eigenvalue estimates, offer criteria for the real zeros of *q*-Mittag-Leffler functions, and advance the spectral theory of discrete fractional models.

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce essential definitions and foundational concepts, including key aspects of *q*-calculus, which underpin the present study. For a comprehensive exploration of these topics, readers are referred to the monographs [11, 14].

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the *q*-real number $[\alpha]_q$ is given by

$$[\alpha]_q = \frac{1 - q^\alpha}{1 - q}, \quad q \neq 1,$$

where $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - q^\alpha}{1 - q} = \alpha$.

We introduce for $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^k a), \quad (a; q)_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n, \quad (a; q)_\alpha = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(q^\alpha a; q)_\infty}.$$

The *q*-factorial $[n]_q!$, serving as the *q*-analogue of the binomial coefficient factorial, is defined as

$$[n]_q! = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ [1]_q \times [2]_q \times \cdots \times [n]_q, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

The *q*-gamma function $\Gamma_q(x)$ is given by

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^x; q)_\infty} (1 - q)^{1-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$$

and satisfies the functional relation $\Gamma_q(x + 1) = [x]_q \Gamma_q(x)$.

Definition 1. [11] The q -analogue differential operator $D_q f(x)$ is

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{x(1 - q)},$$

and the q -derivatives $D_q^n(f(x))$ of higher order are defined inductively as follows:

$$D_q^0(f(x)) = f(x), \quad D_q^n(f(x)) = D_q(D_q^{n-1}f(x)) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

where $0 < q < 1$. Be aware that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q f(x) = f'(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,x}(x - s)_q^{(\gamma)} &= [\gamma]_q (x - s)_q^{(\gamma-1)}, \\ D_{q,s}(x - s)_q^{(\gamma)} &= -[\gamma]_q (x - qs)_q^{(\gamma-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The q -integral (or Jackson integral) $\int_a^b f(x) d_q x$ is defined by

$$\int_0^a f(x) d_q x := (1 - q)a \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^m f(aq^m),$$

for $a = 0$ and

$$\int_a^b f(x) d_q x = \int_0^b f(x) d_q x - \int_0^a f(x) d_q x,$$

for $0 < a < b$. For further details, see [8, 9].

Definition 2. [21] For $\alpha > 0$, and a function f defined on $[a, b]$, the fractional q -integral of Riemann–Liouville type is characterized by $(I_{q,a}^0 f)(x) = f(x)$ and

$$(I_{q,a}^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^x (x - qt)_q^{(\alpha-1)} f(t) d_q t, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Definition 3. [16]. Given $\alpha, \beta > 0$, the Riemann–Liouville fractional q -derivative is defined by setting $(D_{q,a}^0 f)(x) = f(x)$ and

$$(D_{q,a}^\alpha f)(x) = \left(D_{q,a}^{[\alpha]} I_{q,a}^{[\alpha]-\alpha} f \right)(x),$$

where $[\alpha]$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to α .

For $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$, the following is valid [9]:

$$\left(D_{q,a}^\alpha (x - a)^\lambda \right)(x) = \frac{\Gamma_q(\lambda + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\lambda - \alpha + 1)} (x - a)^{\lambda - \alpha}. \tag{2}$$

The space $L_q^p = L_q^p[a, b]$ corresponding to $1 \leq p < \infty$ is defined by

$$L_q^p[a, b] := \left\{ f : \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p d_q x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $0 < a < b < \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then we introduce the space $C_{q,\lambda}[a, b]$ of functions f given on $[a, b]$, such that the functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C_{q,\lambda}[a,b]} := \max_{x \in [a,b]} \left| (x - qa)_q^{(\lambda)} f(x) \right| < \infty.$$

The collection of all q -absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b]$ is denoted $AC_q[a, b]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N} := 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we denote by $AC_q^n[a, b]$ the space of real-valued functions $f(x)$ which have q -derivatives up to order $n - 1$ on $[a, b]$ such that $D_q^{n-1}f(x) \in AC_q[a, b]$:

$$AC_q^n[a, b] := \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; D_q^{n-1}f(x) \in AC_q[a, b]\}.$$

Lemma 1. [18] Assume $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The semigroup property for the q -fractional integral holds as follows:

1. $(I_{q,a}^\beta I_{q,a}^\alpha f)(x) = (I_{q,a}^{\alpha+\beta} f)(x)$,
2. $(D_{q,a}^\alpha I_{q,a}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$,
3. $(D_{q,a}^\beta I_{q,a}^\alpha f)(x) = (I_{q,a}^{\alpha-\beta} f)(x)$,

where $f(x) \in L_q^p[a, b]$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Lemma 2. Suppose $\alpha > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in (0, 1)$, and let $f \in AC_q^p[a, b]$ be a function with q -derivatives $D_{q,a}^k f$ defined at $x = a$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$. Following [19], the Riemann–Liouville q -fractional integral $I_{q,a}^\alpha$ and derivative $D_{q,a}^\alpha$ satisfy

$$(I_{q,a}^\alpha D_{q,a}^\alpha f)(x) = (D_{q,a}^\alpha I_{q,a}^\alpha f)(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha-p+k}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+k-p+1)} (D_{q,a}^k f)(a), \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Lemma 3. For $\gamma > -1$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $a < b$, and $x \geq b$, the q -integral of the q -power function is given by

$$\int_a^b (x - qs)_q^{(\gamma)} d_qs = \frac{(x - a)^{\gamma+1}}{[\gamma + 1]_q}, \tag{3}$$

where $(x - qs)_q^{(\gamma)} = (x - qs)^\gamma$ and $[\gamma + 1]_q = \frac{1-q^{\gamma+1}}{1-q}$. See [9] for details.

2 Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$, $q \in (0, 1)$, and $h \in L_q^1[a, b]$. The q -fractional boundary value problem

$$D_{q,a}^\alpha u(t) + h(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b], \tag{4}$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(a) = 0, \quad D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0, \tag{5}$$

has a unique solution given by

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G_q(t, s) h(s) d_qs,$$

where the q -Green’s function $G_q(t, s)$ is defined as

$$G_q(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \begin{cases} \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}, & a \leq t \leq s \leq b, \\ \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} - (t - qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)}, & a \leq s \leq t \leq b. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Proof. By applying the operator $I_{q,a}^\alpha$ from definition 2 to both sides of (4) and employing Lemma 2 with $p = 2$, we obtain

$$u(t) = -I_{q,a}^\alpha h(t) + C_1(t-a)^{\alpha-1} + C_2(t-a)^{\alpha-2}, \tag{7}$$

for some $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying the operator $D_{q,a}^\beta$ in condition (5) to both parts of the equation (7) and using the Lemma 1, we obtain

$$D_{q,a}^\beta u(t) = -D_{q,a}^\beta I_{q,a}^\alpha h(t) + C_1 D_{q,a}^\beta (t-a)^{\alpha-1} + C_2 D_{q,a}^\beta (t-a)^{\alpha-2},$$

proceeding further, and using formula (2), we arrive at

$$D_{q,a}^\beta u(t) = -I_{q,a}^{\alpha-\beta} h(t) + C_1 \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha-\beta)} (t-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + C_2 \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha-\beta-1)} (t-a)^{\alpha-\beta-2}. \tag{8}$$

Using the boundary condition $u(a) = 0$ in equation (7) gives $C_2 = 0$. Applying the condition $D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0$ to equation (8) then leads to

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} \int_a^b (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} h(s) d_qs.$$

Substituting the explicit expressions for C_1 and C_2 into equation (7), we obtain the unique solution of (4) as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} h(s) d_qs \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^b \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} h(s) d_qs \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^t \left[\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} - (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \right] h(s) d_qs \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_t^b \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} h(s) d_qs \\ &= \int_a^b G_q(t,s) h(s) d_qs. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows.

Corollary 1. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$, $q \in (0, 1)$, and $h \in L_q^1[a, b]$. The q -fractional boundary value problem

$$D_{q,a}^\alpha u(t) + h(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(a) = 0, \quad D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0,$$

has a unique solution $u \in AC_q^\alpha[a, b]$ given by

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G_q(t, s)h(s) d_qs,$$

where the *q*-Green's function $G_q(t, s)$ is defined as

$$G_q(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \begin{cases} \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}, & a \leq t \leq s \leq b, \\ \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} - (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)}, & a \leq s \leq t \leq b. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 1 by identical arguments for the case $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$; the details are omitted.

We proceed to demonstrate the nonnegativity of the *q*-Green's functions and establish upper bounds for both the functions and their *q*-integrals.

Theorem 2. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$, $q \in (0, 1)$, and let the *q*-Green's function $G_q(t, s)$ be defined as in Theorem 1. Then,

$$G_q(t, s) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in [a, b] \times [a, b].$$

Proof. We analyze the *q*-Green's function $G_q(t, s)$ defined in Theorem 1, considering its piecewise structure.

Case 1: $a \leq t \leq s \leq b$. Here,

$$G_q(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} \cdot (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}.$$

Since $\Gamma_q(\alpha) > 0$, $(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1} > 0$, $(t-a)^{\alpha-1} \geq 0$ for $t \geq a$, and $(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} \geq 0$ for $s \leq b$ (as $qs \leq s$, $q \in (0, 1)$, and $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$), it follows that $G_q(t, s) \geq 0$.

Case 2: $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$. In this case,

$$G_q(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} - (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \right].$$

Since $s \leq t$, the *q*-power function is monotonic, so $t-qs \geq t-a$, and thus $(t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \leq (t-a)^{\alpha-1}$. Additionally, as $qs \leq s \leq t \leq b$, we have $b-qs \geq b-a$, implying $(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} \geq (b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} \geq (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \geq (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)}.$$

Hence,

$$G_q(t, s) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[(t-a)^{\alpha-1} - (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \right] \geq 0.$$

Combining both cases, we conclude that $G_q(t, s) \geq 0$ for all $(t, s) \in [a, b] \times [a, b]$.

Remark 1. The nonnegativity of the *q*-Green's function $G_q(t, s)$, established in Theorem 2, is crucial for the qualitative analysis of the *q*-fractional boundary value problem in Theorem 1. Specifically, it ensures that the solution

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G_q(t, s)h(s) d_qs, \quad h \in L_q^1[a, b],$$

preserves the sign of the source term $h(s)$. For instance, if $h(s) \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$, then $u(t) \geq 0$; similarly, if $h(s) \leq 0$, then $u(t) \leq 0$, for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Corollary 2. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$, $q \in (0, 1)$, and let the q -Green's function $G_q(t, s)$ be defined as in Corollary 1 for $a < b$. Then,

$$G_q(t, s) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in [a, b] \times [a, b].$$

Proof. We analyze the piecewise definition of $G_q(t, s)$ from Corollary 1.

Case 1: $a \leq t \leq s \leq b$. Here,

$$G_q(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} \cdot (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}.$$

Since $\Gamma_q(\alpha) > 0$, $(t-a)^{\alpha-1} \geq 0$, $(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \geq 0$ (as $\alpha - \beta - 1 \geq 0$), and $(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} \geq 0$ (as $qs \leq s \leq b$, $q \in (0, 1)$), it follows that $G_q(t, s) \geq 0$.

Case 2: $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$. In this case,

$$G_q(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} - (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \right].$$

Since $a \leq qs \leq s \leq t \leq b$, we have $b-qs \geq b-a$, so $(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} \geq (b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}$. Also, $qs \geq a$, so $t-qs \leq t-a$, and the monotonicity of the q -power function [14] implies $(t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \leq (t-a)^{\alpha-1}$. Thus,

$$\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} \geq (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \geq (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)}.$$

Hence,

$$G_q(t, s) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[(t-a)^{\alpha-1} - (t-qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \right] \geq 0.$$

Thus, $G_q(t, s) \geq 0$ for all $(t, s) \in [a, b] \times [a, b]$.

Theorem 3. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $a < b$, and let the q -Green's function $G_q(t, s)$ be defined as in (6). Then, for $s \in [a, b]$,

$$\max_{t \in [a, b]} \frac{G_q(t, s)}{(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}} = \frac{G_q(s, s)}{(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}},$$

and

$$\max_{s \in [a, b]} \frac{G_q(s, s)}{(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}} = \frac{(b-a)^\beta}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}.$$

Proof. We analyze the ratio $\frac{G_q(t, s)}{(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}}$ for fixed $s \in [a, b]$. Since $qs \leq s \leq b$, $q \in (0, 1)$, and $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$, we have $\alpha - \beta - 1 \in (-1, 0)$, but $(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} \geq 0$ as per [14].

Case 1: $a \leq t \leq s \leq b$. From (6),

$$\frac{G_q(t, s)}{(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}.$$

Using the q -derivative (1),

$$D_{q,t}[(t-a)^{\alpha-1}] = [\alpha-1]_q (t-a)^{\alpha-2},$$

we obtain

$$D_{q,t} \left[\frac{G_q(t, s)}{(b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}} \right] = \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-2}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \Gamma_q(\alpha-1)} \geq 0,$$

since $\alpha - 2 > -1$. At $t = a$, $(t - a)^{\alpha - 2}$ may be singular ($\alpha - 2 \in (-1, 0]$), but the *q*-derivative is defined for $t \in (a, s]$. Thus, the ratio is non-decreasing on $[a, s]$.

Case 2: $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$. Here,

$$\frac{G_q(t, s)}{(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[\frac{(t - a)^{\alpha - 1}}{(b - a)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}} - \frac{(t - qs)_q^{(\alpha - 1)}}{(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)}} \right].$$

Computing the *q*-derivative,

$$D_{q,t} \left[\frac{G_q(t, s)}{(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)}} \right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha - 1)} \left[\frac{(t - a)^{\alpha - 2}}{(b - a)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}} - \frac{(t - qs)_q^{(\alpha - 2)}}{(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)}} \right].$$

Since $qs \leq s \leq b$, we have $b - qs \geq b - a$, so $(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)} \geq (b - a)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}$. Also, $qs \geq a$, so $t - qs \leq t - a$, and the monotonicity of the *q*-power function [14] implies $(t - qs)_q^{(\alpha - 2)} \leq (t - a)^{\alpha - 2}$. Thus,

$$\frac{(t - a)^{\alpha - 2}}{(b - a)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}} \geq \frac{(t - qs)_q^{(\alpha - 2)}}{(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)}},$$

so

$$D_{q,t} \left[\frac{G_q(t, s)}{(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)}} \right] \leq 0.$$

Hence, the ratio is non-increasing on $[s, b]$. Combining both cases, the maximum occurs at $t = s$, where

$$\frac{G_q(s, s)}{(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(s - a)^{\alpha - 1}}{(b - a)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}}.$$

For the second part, consider

$$\frac{G_q(s, s)}{(b - qs)_q^{(\alpha - \beta - 1)}} = \frac{(s - a)^{\alpha - 1}}{(b - a)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \Gamma_q(\alpha)}.$$

Since $(s - a)^{\alpha - 1}$ is increasing on $[a, b]$ ($\alpha - 1 > 0$), the maximum occurs at $s = b$, yielding

$$\frac{(b - a)^{\alpha - 1}}{(b - a)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \Gamma_q(\alpha)} = \frac{(b - a)^\beta}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}.$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 3. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $a < b$, and let the *q*-Green's function $G_q(t, s)$ be defined as in (9). Then, for $s \in [a, b]$,

$$\max_{t \in [a, b]} G_q(t, s) = G_q(s, s),$$

and

$$\max_{s \in [a, b]} G_q(s, s) = \frac{(b - a)^\beta b^{\alpha - \beta - 1} (1 - q)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}.$$

Proof. The statement follows from Theorem 3 by identical arguments applied to the range $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$; the details are omitted.

Corollary 4. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $a < b$, and let the q -Green's function $G_q(t, s)$ be defined as in (6) and (9). Then:

$$\max_{t \in [a, b]} \int_a^b G_q(t, s) d_qs = \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{b - a}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} \right)^\alpha.$$

Proof. Consider the integral $I(t) = \int_a^b G_q(t, s) d_qs$, where $G_q(t, s)$ is defined in (6) and (9). Split the integral based on the definition of $G_q(t, s)$:

Case 1: $a \leq t \leq s \leq b$.

$$G_q(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b - a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}.$$

Case 2: $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$.

$$G_q(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[\frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b - a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} - (t - qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \right].$$

Thus,

$$I(t) = \int_a^t G_q(t, s) d_qs + \int_t^b G_q(t, s) d_qs.$$

Substitute the expression for $G_q(t, s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \int_a^t \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[\frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b - a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} - (t - qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} \right] d_qs \\ &\quad + \int_t^b \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1}}{(b - a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} d_qs \\ &= \frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(b - a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}} \int_a^b (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} d_qs - \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t - qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} d_qs. \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (3), under the conditions $x = b$ or $x = t \geq s$, we have

$$\int_a^b (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} d_qs = \frac{(b - a)^{\alpha-\beta}}{[\alpha - \beta]_q}, \quad \int_a^t (t - qs)_q^{(\alpha-1)} d_qs = \frac{(t - a)^\alpha}{[\alpha]_q},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1}(b - a)^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(b - a)^{\alpha-\beta-1}[\alpha - \beta]_q} - \frac{(t - a)^\alpha}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)[\alpha]_q} \\ &= \frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1}(b - a)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)[\alpha - \beta]_q} - \frac{(t - a)^\alpha}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)[\alpha]_q} \\ &= \frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left(\frac{b - a}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} - \frac{t - a}{[\alpha]_q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To find the maximum, compute the q -derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,t}I(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[[\alpha - 1]_q (t - a)^{\alpha-2} \left(\frac{b - a}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} - \frac{t - a}{[\alpha]_q} \right) - (t - a)^{\alpha-1} \cdot \frac{1}{[\alpha]_q} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[\frac{[\alpha - 1]_q (t - a)^{\alpha-2} (b - a)}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} - \frac{(t - a)^{\alpha-1} ([\alpha - 1]_q + 1)}{[\alpha]_q} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left[\frac{[\alpha - 1]_q (t - a)^{\alpha-2} (b - a)}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} - (t - a)^{\alpha-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $[\alpha - 1]_q + 1 = \frac{1 - q^{\alpha-1}}{1 - q} + 1 = \frac{1 - q^\alpha}{1 - q} = [\alpha]_q$.

Set $D_{q,t}I(t) = 0$:

$$t^* = a + \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q (b - a)}{[\alpha - \beta]_q}.$$

Substitute t^* into the expression for $I(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} I(t^*) &= \frac{\left(\frac{[\alpha - 1]_q (b - a)}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} \right)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left(\frac{b - a}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} - \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q (b - a)}{[\alpha]_q} \right) \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{[\alpha - 1]_q (b - a)}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} \right)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left(\frac{b - a}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} \left(1 - \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q}{[\alpha]_q} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q^{\alpha-1} (b - a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha) [\alpha - \beta]_q^{\alpha-1}} \cdot \frac{b - a}{[\alpha - \beta]_q} \cdot \frac{q^{\alpha-1}}{[\alpha]_q} \\ &= \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q^{\alpha-1} (b - a)^\alpha q^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha) [\alpha - \beta]_q^\alpha [\alpha]_q}. \end{aligned}$$

The function $I(t)$ is increasing for $t < t^*$ ($D_{q,t}I(t) > 0$) and decreasing for $t > t^*$ ($D_{q,t}I(t) < 0$), confirming the maximum at t^* .

Theorem 4. Let $\mathfrak{B}_q = C_{q,\lambda}[a, b]$ denote the Banach space of functions continuous in the q -sense on the interval $[a, b]$, with norm

$$\|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |u(t)|,$$

where $[a, b] = \{a, aq, aq^2, \dots, aq^n = b\}$. Given $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$, if the fractional q -difference boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{q,a}^\alpha u(t) + q(t)u(t) = 0, & t \in [a, b], \\ u(a) = 0, \quad D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

admits a nontrivial solution $u \in \mathfrak{B}_q$, then the following Lyapunov-type inequality holds:

$$\int_a^b (b - qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} |q(s)| d_qs > \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b - a)^\beta}. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Any solution $u \in \mathfrak{B}_q$ of the boundary value problem (10) satisfies

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G_q(t, s) q(s) u(s) d_qs,$$

where $G_q(t, s)$ is the q -Green's function given by (6).

By applying the $C_{q,\lambda}$ -norm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} &= \max_{t \in [a,b]} \left| \int_a^b G_q(t, s) q(s) u(s) d_q s \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [a,b]} \int_a^b |G_q(t, s)| |q(s)| |u(s)| d_q s \\ &\leq \|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} \cdot \max_{t \in [a,b]} \int_a^b |G_q(t, s)| |q(s)| d_q s. \end{aligned}$$

For a nontrivial solution ($\|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} \neq 0$), this implies

$$1 \leq \max_{t \in [a,b]} \int_a^b |G_q(t, s)| |q(s)| d_q s.$$

By Theorem 3, the q -Green's function satisfies the bound

$$|G_q(t, s)| \leq \frac{(b-a)^\beta (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}.$$

Substituting this bound, we get

$$1 < \max_{t \in [a,b]} \int_a^b |G_q(t, s)| |q(s)| d_q s \leq \frac{(b-a)^\beta}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^b (b-qs)_q^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} |q(s)| d_q s.$$

Therefore, dividing both sides by $\frac{(b-a)^\beta}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}$, we obtain (11).

This completes the proof.

Corollary 5. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, and $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$. Suppose the fractional q -difference boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{q,a}^\alpha u(t) + q(t)u(t) = 0, & t \in [a, b], \\ u(a) = 0, \quad D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0, \end{cases}$$

admits a nontrivial solution $u \in \mathfrak{B}_q = C_{q,\lambda}[a, b]$, where $C_{q,\lambda}[a, b]$ is the space of q -continuous functions on the q -interval $[a, b]$ with $0 < q < 1$. Then the following Lyapunov-type inequality holds:

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| d_q s > \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b-a)^\beta b^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-q)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 1, any solution $u \in C_{q,\lambda}[a, b]$ to the boundary-value problem satisfies:

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G_q(t, s) q(s) u(s) d_q s,$$

where $G_q(t, s)$ is the q -Green's function defined in (9).

Define the norm $\|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |u(t)|$. From the solution representation:

$$|u(t)| \leq \int_a^b |G_q(t, s)| |q(s)| |u(s)| d_qs \leq \|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} \int_a^b |G_q(t, s)| |q(s)| d_qs.$$

Taking the supremum over $t \in [a, b]$, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} \leq \|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} \max_{t \in [a,b]} \int_a^b |G_q(t, s)| |q(s)| d_qs.$$

For a nontrivial solution ($\|u\|_{C_{q,\lambda}} > 0$), it follows that

$$1 \leq \max_{t \in [a,b]} \int_a^b |G_q(t, s)| |q(s)| d_qs.$$

By Corollary 2, $G_q(t, s)$ is non-negative, so $|G_q(t, s)| = G_q(t, s)$. By Corollary 3, the maximum of the Green's function is

$$\max_{t,s \in [a,b]} G_q(t, s) = \max_{s \in [a,b]} G_q(s, s) = \frac{(b-a)^\beta b^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-q)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}.$$

Thus, $G_q(t, s) \leq \max_{s \in [a,b]} G_q(s, s)$, and

$$\int_a^b G_q(t, s) |q(s)| d_qs \leq \frac{(b-a)^\beta b^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-q)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^b |q(s)| d_qs.$$

Combining with the previous inequality, we get

$$1 \leq \frac{(b-a)^\beta b^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-q)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^b |q(s)| d_qs.$$

Rearranging yields

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| d_qs \geq \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b-a)^\beta b^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-q)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}.$$

To establish the strict inequality, suppose equality holds

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| d_qs = \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b-a)^\beta b^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-q)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}.$$

This implies $G_q(t, s) = \max_{s \in [a,b]} G_q(s, s)$ for all $t, s \in [a, b]$ where $q(s)u(s) \neq 0$. By Corollary 3, $G_q(t, s) = G_q(s, s)$ only when $t = s$, which has measure zero in the q -integral unless $u \equiv 0$. Since u is nontrivial, equality is impossible, so

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| d_qs > \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b-a)^\beta b^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-q)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}.$$

3 Applications

In this section, we investigate two applications of Theorem 4 and Corollary 5. First, we establish lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the Riemann–Liouville type fractional q -eigenvalue problems associated with (10). Second, we utilize these findings to identify intervals where the q -analogue of the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function has no real zeros.

Theorem 5. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ such that $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$. Assume that y is a nontrivial solution of the Riemann–Liouville type fractional q -eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{q,a}^\alpha u(t) + \lambda u(t) = 0, & t \in [a, b], \\ u(a) = 0, \quad D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

where $u(t) \neq 0$ for each $t \in (a, b)$. Then,

$$|\lambda| > \frac{[\alpha - \beta]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b - a)^\alpha}.$$

Corollary 6. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ such that $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$. Assume that u is a nontrivial solution of the Riemann–Liouville type fractional q -eigenvalue problem (12), where $u(t) \neq 0$ for each $t \in (a, b)$. Then,

$$|\lambda| > \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b - a)^\beta b^{\alpha - \beta - 1} (1 - q)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}}.$$

Consider the q -analogue of the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function, defined as ([14]):

$$E_{q,\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma_q(k\alpha + \beta)}, \quad z, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \Re(\alpha) > 0, \quad 0 < q < 1. \tag{13}$$

We use Theorem 5 and Corollary 6 to determine intervals where the function (13) has no real zeros.

Theorem 6. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$, $q \in (0, 1)$. The q -Mittag-Leffler function

$$E_{q,\alpha,\alpha-\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma_q(k\alpha + \alpha - \beta)},$$

has no real zeros for

$$|z| \leq \frac{[\alpha - \beta]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b - a)^\alpha}, \tag{14}$$

where $[\alpha - \beta]_q = \frac{1 - q^{\alpha - \beta}}{1 - q}$.

Proof. Consider the q -fractional eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{q,a}^\alpha u(t) + \lambda u(t) = 0, & t \in [a, b], \\ u(a) = 0, \quad D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $D_{q,a}^\alpha$ is the Riemann–Liouville q -fractional derivative. The general solution is

$$u(t) = c_1(t - a)^{\alpha - 1} E_{q,\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda(t - a)^\alpha) + c_2(t - a)^{\alpha - 2} E_{q,\alpha,\alpha - 1}(-\lambda(t - a)^\alpha).$$

Let $g(t) = (t - a)^{\alpha - 1} E_{q,\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda(t - a)^\alpha)$. Compute

$$D_{q,a}^\alpha g(t) = D_{q,a}^\alpha \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n (t - a)^{\alpha n + \alpha - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \alpha)} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \alpha)} D_{q,a}^\alpha (t - a)^{\alpha n + \alpha - 1}.$$

Since $D_{q,a}^\alpha(t-a)^{\alpha n + \alpha - 1} = \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n)}(t-a)^{\alpha n - 1}$, we get

$$D_{q,a}^\alpha g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n)}(t-a)^{\alpha n - 1} = -\lambda g(t).$$

The condition $u(a) = 0$ implies $c_2 = 0$, since $(t-a)^{\alpha-2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow a$. Thus,

$$u(t) = c_1(t-a)^{\alpha-1} E_{q,\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda(t-a)^\alpha).$$

Compute

$$D_{q,a}^\beta u(t) = c_1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \alpha)} D_{q,a}^\beta (t-a)^{\alpha n + \alpha - 1}.$$

Since $D_{q,a}^\beta(t-a)^{\alpha n + \alpha - 1} = \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \alpha - \beta)}(t-a)^{\alpha n + \alpha - \beta - 1}$, we obtain

$$D_{q,a}^\beta u(t) = c_1(t-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1} E_{q,\alpha,\alpha-\beta}(-\lambda(t-a)^\alpha).$$

The condition $D_{q,a}^\beta u(b) = 0$ gives

$$c_1(b-a)^{\alpha-\beta-1} E_{q,\alpha,\alpha-\beta}(-\lambda(b-a)^\alpha) = 0 \implies E_{q,\alpha,\alpha-\beta}(-\lambda(b-a)^\alpha) = 0.$$

By Theorem 5, for a nontrivial solution $u \in \mathfrak{B}_q = C_{q,\lambda}[a, b]$,

$$|\lambda| > \frac{[\alpha - \beta]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b-a)^\alpha}.$$

For $z = -\lambda(b-a)^\alpha$, we have

$$|z| = |\lambda|(b-a)^\alpha > [\alpha - \beta]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha).$$

Thus, $E_{q,\alpha,\alpha-\beta}(z) \neq 0$ for (14).

Corollary 7. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ such that $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$. The *q*-Mittag-Leffler function $E_{q,\alpha,\beta}(z)$ has no real zeros for

$$|z| \leq \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b-a)^\alpha}.$$

Proof. Following the same reasoning as in Theorem 6, suppose $E_{q,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = 0$ for some real λ . The function $u(t) = E_{q,\alpha,\beta}(-\lambda(t-a)^\alpha)$ satisfies the *q*-eigenvalue problem (12). By Corollary 6, any eigenvalue λ must satisfy:

$$|\lambda| > \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(b-a)^\alpha}.$$

Hence, $E_{q,\alpha,\beta}(z) \neq 0$.

Conclusion

In this study, we derived two novel Lyapunov-type inequalities for boundary value problems involving the Riemann–Liouville fractional *q*-derivative within the regimes $0 < \alpha - \beta < 1$ and $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < 2$, thereby establishing precise estimates for eigenvalues and intervals free of zeros for *q*-Mittag-Leffler functions. By employing an analysis of the *q*-Green’s function, we determined lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the problem $D_{q,a}^\alpha u + \lambda u = 0$ and identified regions devoid of real zeros for *q*-analogues of Mittag-Leffler functions, which holds significant importance for discrete systems with memory, such as viscoelastic lattices and quantum circuits. This work extends classical inequalities to the realm of *q*-calculus, thereby bridging continuous and discrete fractional analysis, and paves the way for further research on Caputo *q*-fractional derivatives and multidimensional *q*-lattices.

Acknowledgments

This research is funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant no. AP22687134, 2024-2026).

Author Contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., & Trujillo, J.J. (2006). *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 2 Podlubny, I. (1999). Fractional Differential Equations. *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, 198. Academic Press, New York.
- 3 Li, C.F., Luo, X.N., & Zhou, Y. (2010). Existence of positive solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 59(3), 1363–1375. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2009.06.029>
- 4 Ferreira, R.A.C. (2013). A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional boundary value problem. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 16(4), 978–984. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s13540-013-0060-5>
- 5 Jleli, M., & Samet, B. (2015). Lyapunov-type inequalities for a fractional differential equation with mixed boundary conditions. *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, 18(2), 443–451. <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-18-33>
- 6 Jonnalagadda, J.M., & Basu, D. (2021). Lyapunov-type inequality for a Riemann-Liouville fractional boundary value problem with anti-periodic boundary conditions. *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*, 40(4), 873–884. <https://doi.org/10.22199/issn.0717-6279-3488>
- 7 Jonnalagadda, J.M., Satpathi, D.K., & Basu, D. (2019). Lyapunov-type inequalities for Riemann-Liouville fractional boundary value problems with fractional boundary conditions. *Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications*, 3(2), 53–63. <https://doi.org/10.31197/atnaa.471245>
- 8 Jackson, F.H. (1908). On q -functions and a certain difference operator. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 46, 253–281.
- 9 Jackson, F.H. (1910). On q -definite integrals. *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 41, 193–203.
- 10 Carmichael, R.D. (1912). The general theory of linear q -difference equations. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 34, 147–168.
- 11 Kac, V., & Cheung, P. (2002). *Quantum Calculus*. Universitext. Springer, New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0071-7>
- 12 Ernst, T. (2012). *A Comprehensive Treatment of q -Calculus*. Birkhauser/Springer, Basel. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-0431-8>
- 13 Ernst, T. (2002). *A New Method of q -Calculus* (Doctoral thesis). Uppsala University.

- 14 Annaby, M.H., & Mansour, Z.S. (2012). *q*-Fractional Calculus and Equations. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 2056. Springer, Heidelberg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-30898-7>
- 15 Al-Salam, W.A. (1966). Some fractional *q*-integrals and *q*-derivatives. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 15(2), 135–140. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091500011469>
- 16 Agarwal, R.P. (1969). Certain fractional *q*-integrals and *q*-derivatives. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 66(2), 365–370. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100045060>
- 17 Rajković, P.M., Marinković, S.D., & Stanković, M.S. (2009). On *q*-fractional derivatives of Riemann-Liouville and Caputo type. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.0387>
- 18 Rajković, P.M., Marinković, S.D., & Stanković, M.S. (2007). Fractional integrals and derivatives in *q*-calculus. *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics*, 1(1), 311–323. <https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM0701311R>
- 19 Ferreira, R.A.C. (2010). Nontrivial solutions for fractional *q*-difference boundary value problems. *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, 2010(70), 1–10.
- 20 Ferreira, R.A.C. (2011). Positive solutions for a class of boundary value problems with fractional *q*-differences. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 61(2), 367–373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2010.11.012>
- 21 Shaimardan, S., Persson, L.E., & Tokmagambetov, N.S. (2020). Existence and uniqueness of some Cauchy type problems in fractional *q*-difference calculus. *Filomat*, 34(13), 4429–4444. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2013429S>
- 22 Atici, F.M., & Eloe, P.W. (2007). Fractional *q*-calculus on a time scale. *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 14(3), 341–352. <https://doi.org/10.2991/jnmp.2007.14.3.4>
- 23 Liang, S., & Zhang, J. (2012). Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for three-point boundary value problem with fractional *q*-differences. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 40, 277–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-012-0551-2>
- 24 Yu, C., & Wang, J. (2013). Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problem for high-order nonlinear fractional *q*-difference equations. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2013, 928147. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/928147>
- 25 Wang, J., Wang, S., & Yu, C. (2023). Unique iterative solution for high-order nonlinear fractional *q*-difference equation based on concave operators. *Boundary Value Problems*, 2023(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-023-01718-1>
- 26 Zhai, C., & Ren, J. (2018). The unique solution for a fractional *q*-difference equation with three-point boundary conditions. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 29(3), 948–961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2018.02.002>
- 27 Zhao, Y., Chen, H., & Zhang, Q. (2013). Existence results for fractional *q*-difference equations with nonlocal *q*-integral boundary conditions. *Advances in Differential Equations*, 2013, 48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2013-48>
- 28 Jleli, M., & Samet, B. (2016). A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional *q*-difference boundary value problem. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, 9(5), 1965–1976. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.05.03>
- 29 Agarwal, R.P., Meehan, M., & O'Regan, D. (2001). *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511543005>
- 30 Granas, A., Guenther, R.B., & Lee, J.W. (1991). Some general existence principle in the Caratheodory theory of nonlinear systems. *Journal de Mathematiques Pures et Appliquees*, 70, 153–196.

*Author Information**

Nariman Sarsenovich Tokmagambetov — PhD, Associate professor, Karaganda Buketov University, 28 Universitetskaya street, Karaganda, 100028, Kazakhstan; e-mail: nariman.tokmagambetov@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6297-5888>

Bektas Kuanyshuly Tolegen — Master's student, Karaganda Buketov University, 28 Universitetskaya street, Karaganda, 100028, Kazakhstan; e-mail: bektas.tolegen99@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0904-7453>

Buketov university

*Authors' names are presented in the order: first name, middle name, and last name.